

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
GRAYSON REPOWERING PROJECT**

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
March 1, 2018

Second, with respect to the need for the project, the City is obligated to provide customers with sufficient reliable power to meet peak demand and also to maintain sufficient reserve power to meet its balancing obligations. Please refer to Topical Response No. 3, Project Need, for further information.

With respect to developing renewable energy instead of the Project, the Project alternatives analysis in the Draft EIR included analysis of an Alternative Energy Project Alternative consisting of solar and wind power combined with energy storage and transmission lines. This provides a sufficient basis for the City to determine whether a renewable-only project is a feasible or desirable alternative, and further evaluation is not needed. Under CEQA decisions of the Supreme Court and Courts of Appeal, the City has the discretion to determine the appropriate studies to be performed. *Laurel Heights Improvement Ass'n v. Regents*, 47 Cal.3d 276, 410 (1988); *Gray v. County of Madera*, 167 Cal.App.4th 1099, 1115 (2008). Please refer to Topical Response No. 4, Project Alternatives, and Topical Response No. 5, Renewable Energy, for further information.

9.1.2 Response to Comments during Public Meeting

9.1.2.1 October 16, 2017 Special Meeting of the Glendale Water and Power Commission

MC - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Avnessian during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

MC-1 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 60 lines 4 through 25 and page 61 lines 1 through 2 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 2, and 3.

MC - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Lall during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

MC-2 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 61 lines 12 through 25 and page 62 lines 1 through 9 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response No. 3.

MC - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Kedikian during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

MC-3 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 62 lines 20 through 25 and page 63 lines 1 through 3 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1 and 3.

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
GRAYSON REPOWERING PROJECT**

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
March 1, 2018

MC-4 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 63 lines 8 through 25 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 2, and 3.

MC - Response to Comments received from President Camargo during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

MC-5 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 64 lines 10 through 25 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response No. 3.

MC - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Hale during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

MC-6 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 65 lines 16 through 25 and page 66 lines 1 through 5 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response No. 3.

MC - Response to Comments received from President Camargo during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

MC-7 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 66 lines 13 through 20 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1 and 3.

MC - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Kedikian during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

MC-8 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 67 lines 6 through 8 for details.

MC-9 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 67 lines 12 through 15 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response No. 3.

MC-10 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 67 line 18 for details.

MC-11 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 67 lines 21 through 25 and page 68 lines 1 through 11 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response No. 3.

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
GRAYSON REPOWERING PROJECT**

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
March 1, 2018

MC-12 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 68 lines 18 through 25 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response No. 3.

MC - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Lall during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

MC-13 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 69 lines 11 through 25 and page 70 lines 1 through 8 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 2, and 3.

MC - Response to Comments received from President Camargo during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

MC-14 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 70 line 14 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response No. 3.

MC-15 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 70 lines 24 through 25, page 71 lines 1 through 25, page 72 lines 1 through 25, and page 73 lines 1 through 3 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response No. 3.

MC - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Lall during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

MC-16 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 73 lines 19 through 24 for details.

MC - Response to Comments received from President Camargo during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

MC-17 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 74 lines 13 through 24 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response No. 3.

MC - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Lall during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

MC-18 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 74 lines 13 through 24 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response No. 3.

MC - Response to Comments received from President Camargo during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
GRAYSON REPOWERING PROJECT**

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
March 1, 2018

- MC-19 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 75 lines 10 through 25 and page 76 lines 1 through 12 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 2, and 3.
- MC-20 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 77 lines 7 through 9 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 5.

MC - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Lall during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

- MC-21 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 77 line 14 for details.
- MC-22 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 77 line 25, page 78 lines 1 through 25, and page 79 lines 1 through 6 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response No. 1, 4, and 5.

MC - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Kedikian during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

- MC-23 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 79 lines 14 through 18 for details.
- MC-24 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 80 lines 1 through 22 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response No. 3.
- MC-25 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 81 lines 8 through 22 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 4 and 6.

MC - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Lall during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

- MC-26 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 82 lines 22 through 25 and page 83 lines 1 through 11 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1 and 3.
- MC-27 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 84 lines 1 through 3 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 5.

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
GRAYSON REPOWERING PROJECT**

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
March 1, 2018

- MC-28 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 84 lines 8 through 25, page 85 lines 1 through 25, and page 86 lines 1 through 22 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 5.
- MC-29 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 87 lines 2 through 10 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 5.
- MC-30 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 87 lines 24 through 25 and page 88 lines 1 through 18 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 3, 4, and 5.
- MC-31 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 88 lines 21 through 25 and 89 lines 1 through 4 for details.

**MC - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Hale during the Special Meeting of
Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017**

- MC-32 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 89 lines 20 through 25 and page 90 lines 1 through 6 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 5.
- MC-33 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 90 lines 12 through 25 and page 91 lines 1 through 5 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 5.
- MC-34 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 91 lines 16 through 17 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 5.
- MC-35 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 91 lines 21 through 23 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 5.
- MC-36 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 92 lines 2 through 9 for details.
- MC-37 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 92 lines 14 through 25 and page 93 lines 1 through 4 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response No. 3.

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
GRAYSON REPOWERING PROJECT**

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
March 1, 2018

**MC - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Lall during the Special Meeting of
Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017**

- MC-38 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 93 lines 8 through 10 for details.
- MC-39 This comment was address during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 93 line 25 and page 94 lines 1 through 11 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 5.

**MC - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Kedikian during the Special Meeting
of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017**

- MC-40 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 95 lines 8 through 25 and page 96 lines 1 through 4 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 5.
- MC-41 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 2 and 3.
- MC-42 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 96 lines 24 through 25 and page 97 lines 1 through 11 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response No. 3.

**MC - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Avanesian during the Special
Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017**

- MC-43 This comment was addressed during the October 16, 2017, meeting. Please refer to page 98 lines 11 through 25, page 99 lines 1 through 25, page 100 lines 1 through 25, and page 101 lines 1 through 4 for details. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 3, 4, and 5.

**MC - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Kedikian during the Special Meeting
of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017**

- MC-44 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 5.

**M1 - Response to Comments received from Daniel Brotman during the Special Meeting of
Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017**

- M1-1 This is a general statement in which the commenter expresses that there were "well over 50 people that came, not all from the Glendale Environmental Coalition, but they have all come out because they are very disturbed with the proposal that is on the table today". The comment does not identify a specific area of environmental analysis. The comment seems to note that due to the

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
GRAYSON REPOWERING PROJECT**

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
March 1, 2018

number of people at the meeting the Project is controversial. The existence of public controversy over the environmental effects of a project will not require preparation of an EIR if there is no substantial evidence before the agency that the project may have a significant effect on the environment. CEQA Guidelines §15064(f)(4). The commenter's statement is included in the administrative record and Final EIR for the decision-maker's consideration as part of the City's deliberations on the Project.

- M1-2 Please refer to Response to Comment No. L977-3 and Topical Response Nos. 2 and 3.
- M1-3 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 2 and 3.
- M1-4 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 2 and 3.
- M1-5 Please refer to Response to Comment No. L977-6 and Topical Response No. 3.
- M1-6 Please refer to Topical Response No. 3.
- M1-7 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 5, 14 and 15.
- M1-8 Please refer to Response to Comment Nos. L959-15 and L977-19 and Topical Response No. 10.
- M1-9 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 8 and 9.
- M1-10 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 8 and 9.
- M1-11 Please refer to Topical Response No. 2.

M1 - Response to Comments received from Burt Culver during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

- M1-12 Please refer to Response to Comment No. L234-3 and Topical Response No. 3.
- M1-13 Please refer to Topical Response No. 8.
- M1-14 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 3, 5, and 6.
- M1-15 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1 and 5.

M1 - Response to Comments received from Angela Johnson during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

- M1-16 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 3, 5, and 7.



**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
GRAYSON REPOWERING PROJECT**

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
March 1, 2018

- M1-17 Please refer to Response to Comment No. L959-8 and Topical Response Nos. 1 and 2.
- M1-18 Please refer to Response to Comment No. L959-5 and Topical Response Nos. 2 and 3.
- M1-19 Please refer to Response to Comment No. L959-5 and Topical Response Nos. 2 and 3.
- M1-20 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 4, 5, 8, and 9.

M1 - Response to Comments received from Cathy Jurca during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

- M1-21 This is a general statement about the commenter's opinion of (or preference about) the Project. The comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the Final EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement is included in the Final EIR for the decision-maker's consideration as part of the City's deliberations on the Project. Please also refer to Topical Response No. 4.
- M1-22 Please refer to Response to Comment No. L298-63.
- M1-23 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 4 and 5.
- M1-24 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 4, and 5.
- M1-25 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 5 and 10.
- M1-26 Please refer to Response to Comment No. L959-8 and Topical Response No. 3.

M1-Response to Comments received from Roberta Medford during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

- M1-27 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4 and 5.
- M1-28 This is a general statement about the commenter's opinion of (or preference about) the Project. The comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the Final EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement is included in the Final EIR for the decision-maker's consideration as part of the City's deliberations on the Project.

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
GRAYSON REPOWERING PROJECT**

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
March 1, 2018

M1 - Response to Comments received from Scott Lowe during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

- M1-29 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 8 and 9.
- M1-30 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 8 and 9.
- M1-31 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1 and 5.
- M1-32 Please refer to Response to Comment No. L959-8 and Topical Response No. 3.
- M1-33 This is a general statement about the commenter's opinion of (or preference about) the Project. The comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the Final EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement is included in the Final EIR for the decision-maker's consideration as part of the City's deliberations on the Project. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1 and 5.
- M1-34 This is a general statement about the commenter's opinion of (or preference about) the Project. The comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the Final EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement is included in the Final EIR for the decision-maker's consideration as part of the City's deliberations on the Project.

M1 - Response to Comments received from Karen Kwak during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

- M1-35 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 3, 4 and 5.
- M1-36 Please refer to Topical Response No. 5.
- M1-37 Please refer to Response to Comment No. L968-3 and Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, 5, and 15.

M1 - Response to Comments received from James St. Aubun during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

- M1-38 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 3, 4, 5, and 9.
- M1-39 This is a general statement about the commenter's opinion of (or preference about) the Project. The comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the Final EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement is included in the Final EIR for the decision-maker's

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
GRAYSON REPOWERING PROJECT**

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
March 1, 2018

consideration as part of the City's deliberations on the Project. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 5.

M1-40 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 5.

M1 - Response to Comments received from Elizabeth Metanza during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

M1-41 Please refer to Topical Response No. 14.

M1-42 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 5 and 10.

M1-43 Please refer to Response to Comment No. L977-18 and Topical Response Nos. 8, 9, and 15.

M1-44 Please refer to Topical Response No. 5.

M1 - Response to Comments received from Jan Sangren during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

M1-45 Please refer to Topical Response No. 1, 4, 5, and 15.

M1-46 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 5.

M1 - Response to Comments received from Daryl Gali during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

M1-47 Please refer to Topical Response No. 8 and 9.

M1-48 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 4, 5, and 8.

M1-49 Please refer to Topical Response No. 5.

M1 - Response to Comments received from Paul Veralsheimer during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

M1-50 Please refer to Topical Response No. 9.

M1-51 Please refer to Topical Response No. 5.

M1-52 Please refer to Topical Response No. 1, 5, and 9.

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
GRAYSON REPOWERING PROJECT**

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
March 1, 2018

M1 - Response to Comments received from Kent Menalt during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

- M1-53 Please refer to Response to Comment No. L977-12 and Topical Response Nos. 5 and 14.
- M1-54 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 4, 5, and 7.
- M1-55 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 4, 5, and 7.
- M1-56 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 4, 5, 7 and 15.

M1- Response to Comments received from Martin Schlageter during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

- M1-57 Please refer to Topical Response No. 11.
- M1-58 Please refer to Topical Response No. 11.
- M1-59 Please refer to Topical Response No. 11.

M1 - Response to Comments received from Mark Morris during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

- M1-60 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1 and 5.

M1 - Response to Comments received from Andrew Ellis during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

- M1-61 The Project is a repowering of an existing power plant that already combusts natural gas. Accordingly, the combustion of natural gas is part of the baseline environmental condition and any increase in natural gas combustion from the Project would be negligible. In addition, under CEQA, a lifecycle analysis that would study the off-site impacts of the production of a product that will be used by the project is not generally required. When considering Manhattan Beach's adoption of an ordinance banning point-of-sale plastic bags within the City limits, the California Supreme Court held: "this case serves as a cautionary example of overreliance on generic studies of 'life cycle' impacts associated with a particular product. Such studies, when properly conducted, may well be a useful guide for the decision maker when a project entails substantial production or consumption of the product. When, however, increased use of the product is an indirect and uncertain consequence, and especially when the scale of the project is such that the increase is plainly insignificant, the product 'life cycle' must be kept in proper perspective and not allowed to swamp the evaluation of

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
GRAYSON REPOWERING PROJECT**

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
March 1, 2018

actual impacts attributable to the project at hand." *Save the Plastic Bag Coalition v. City of Manhattan Beach* (2011) 52 Cal.4th 155,175. This situation is similar to the situation in *Save the Plastic Bag* because the Grayson plant is already combusting natural gas and any increase in natural gas from the Project would be negligible.

M1-62 Please refer to Response to Comment No. M1-61.

M1-63 In response to the comments concerning fracking and the storage of natural gas please refer to Response to Comment Nos. M1-61 and M1-62. Regarding liquefaction, please refer to Topical Response No. 10.

M1-64 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 8 and 9.

M1 - Response to Comments received from Ana Belcher during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

M1-65 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1 and 5.

M1-66 Please refer to Response to Comment No. 977-15 and Topical Response No. 8.

M1-67 The SCAQMD will provide a portion of the credits and they have been set aside to accommodate the Project. GWP will likely pay installments annually for these credits so payments will occur after the Project is approved. The remaining credits would be secured through an options contract. That contract would specify certificates and those credits will be held in escrow for GWP. The seller has committed to the transaction, but the contract has not yet been presented to the City Council for consideration. Please also refer also to Topical Response No. 8.

M1 - Response to Comments received from Michael Yank during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

M1-68 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 5 and 8.

M1- Response to Comments received from Pia Harris during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

M1-69 A Cumulative Impact Analysis considering the Biogas Renewable Generation Project with the Grayson Repowering Project is included as Section 4.11 of the Draft EIR. Please also refer to Topical Response No. 11 for additional information concerning the relationship of the Grayson Repowering Project to the Biogas Renewable Generation Project. Regarding air quality please refer to Topical Response No. 8.

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
GRAYSON REPOWERING PROJECT**

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
March 1, 2018

M1 - Response to Comments received from David Eisenberg during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

- M1-70 This is a general statement about the commenter's opinion of (or preference about) the Project. The comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the Final EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement is included in the Final EIR for the decision-maker's consideration as part of the City's deliberations on the Project. Please also refer to Topical Response No. 3.
- M1-71 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 4 and 5.
- M1-72 Please refer to Response to Comment No. 1107-3 and Topical Response Nos. 4, 5, 6 and 8.

M1 - Response to Comments received from Bruce Saltzer during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

- M1-73 Both the Initial Study/Notice of Preparation of a Draft EIR and the Draft EIR were noticed to the public in accordance with CEQA requirements. The City circulated the Draft EIR for public comment for XX day, exceeding the 45-day public comment period required by CEQAs and also provided two public meetings for the public to comment on the Draft EIR.
- M1-74 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 4 and 5.
- M1-75 This is a general statement about the commenter's opinion of (or preference about) the Project. The comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the Final EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement is included in the Final EIR for the decision-maker's consideration as part of the City's deliberations on the Project. Please refer also to Topical Response No. 6.

M1 - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Matthew Hale during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

- M1-76 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4 and 5.
- M1-77 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 4 and 5.
- M1-78 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 4 and 5.
- M1-79 Please refer to Topical Response No. 3 for further information regarding the need for the Project.

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
GRAYSON REPOWERING PROJECT**

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
March 1, 2018

M1-80 Please refer to Topical Response No. 1.

M1-81 This comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the Final EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement is included in the Final EIR for the decision-maker's consideration as part of the City's deliberations on the Project.

M1-82 Please refer to Topical Response No. 1.

M1 - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Roland Kedikian during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

M1-83 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1 and 3.

M1-84 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 8 and 9.

M1-85 Please refer to Topical Response No. 5.

M1-86 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 5.

M1 - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Sarajini Lall during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

M1-87 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 7, and 9.

M1-88 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 4, 5 and 8.

M1 - Response to Comments received from Commissioner Hrand Avanesian during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

M1-89 Please refer to Topical Response No. 5.

M1-90 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1 and 4.

M1 - Response to Comments received from Owen Lewis during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power Commission, held on October 16, 2017

M1-91 Please refer to Topical Response No. 11.

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
GRAYSON REPOWERING PROJECT**

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
March 1, 2018

9.1.2.2 October 19, 2017 Public Meeting held at the Glendale Utility Operating Center

M2 - Response to Comments received from Monica Campagna during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power, held on October 19, 2017

- M2-1 This is a general statement about the commenter's connection to the City of Glendale. The comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the Final EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement is included in the Final EIR for the decision-maker's consideration as part of the City's deliberations on the Project.
- M2-2 This is a general statement in which the commenter expresses excitement over the incentives provided by the City. The comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the Final EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement is included in the Final EIR for the decision-maker's consideration as part of the City's deliberations on the Project.
- M2-3 This is a general statement about the commenter's opinion of (or preference about) the Project. The comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the Final EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement is included in the Final EIR for the decision-maker's consideration as part of the City's deliberations on the Project. Please refer to Topical Response No. 8 for additional information concerning Air Quality and Public Health.
- M2-4 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 5.
- M2-5 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 5.
- M2-6 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, 5, 6, and 15.
- M2-7 This is a general statement about the commenter's opinion of (or preference about) the Project. The comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the Final EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement is included in the Final EIR for the decision-maker's consideration as part of the City's deliberations on the Project.

M2 - Response to Comments received from Burt Culver during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power, held on October 19, 2017

- M2-8 Please refer to Topical Response No. 3.
- M2-9 Please refer to Response to Comment No. L1133-26.

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
GRAYSON REPOWERING PROJECT**

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
March 1, 2018

M2 - Response to Comments received from Scott Lowe during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power, held on October 19, 2017

- M2-10 Please refer to Topical Response No. 8.
- M2-11 Please refer to Topical Response No. 8.
- M2-12 Please refer to Topical Response No. 8.

M2 - Response to Comments received from Daniel Brotman during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power, held on October 19, 2017

- M2-13 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 2, 3, 8, and 9.
- M2-14 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 2, 3, 8, and 9.
- M2-15 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 2 and 3.
- M2-16 Please refer to Response to Comment No. L298-63.
- M2-17 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 2, 4, and 5.

M2 - Response to Comments received from Michael Allen during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power, held on October 19, 2017

- M2-18 This is a general statement about the commenter's opinion of (or preference about) the Project. The comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the Final EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement is included in the Final EIR for the decision-maker's consideration as part of the City's deliberations on the Project. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 3, 4, 5, and 7.
- M2-19 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1 and 5.

M2 - Response to Comments received from Joanne Hedge during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power, held on October 19, 2017

- M2-20 This comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the Final EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement is included in the Final EIR for the decision-maker's consideration as part of the City's deliberations on the Project.
- M2-21 The Draft EIR analyzes the cumulative impacts of the Project and related projects relative to noise (Section 4.8), vibration (Section 4.8), lighting (Section 4.2), and dust (Sections 3.2, 3.3, and 4.3). In all cases, the cumulative impacts were

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
GRAYSON REPOWERING PROJECT**

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
March 1, 2018

determined to be less than significant. (See cumulative impacts analysis in the Draft EIR, Section 4.11) Per the Glendale Municipal Code, Construction is limited to the hours between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m., Monday through Saturday. Construction activities proposed outside the typical hours and days, if necessary, would require and be conducted in accordance with an exception to the Code based on special circumstances supported by factual findings.

Task-specific lighting would be used to the extent practical while complying with worker safety regulations. Lighting consisting of maximum 15-foot high pole mounted floodlight with appropriate visors and glare shields angling 45 degrees below the horizon would be provided for nighttime safety lighting. The perimeter wall and proposed shielding of light fixtures would screen ground-level views of the proposed construction lighting, and therefore would be less than significant.

With respect to fugitive dust, during the construction phase of the Project, control measures, such as applying sufficient amount of water on the disturbed surfaces, covering truck loads when hauling material, etc., would be implemented in compliance with SCAQMD 403. See Draft EIR Section 3.3 Project Construction.

Nighttime construction noise (if nighttime construction were to be permitted pursuant to Glendale Municipal Code regulations) from pouring concrete would be below the applicable City nighttime noise level limits at the nearest residential receptors modeled in the Draft EIR. Project construction related noise would not expose persons to or generate noise levels in excess of established standards and potential impacts would be less than significant.

Demolition and construction activities are expected to involve potential sources of ground-borne vibration. Predicted maximum demolition and construction vibration levels are below the vibration thresholds. The Project would therefore not result in exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground borne vibration or ground borne noise. Potential impacts are less than significant.

M2-22 Please refer to Response to Comment No. M2-21 and Topical Response Nos. 4, 5, and 6.

**M2 - Response to Comments received from Leah Campara during the Special Meeting of
Glendale Water & Power, held on October 19, 2017**

M2-23 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 8 and 9.

M2-24 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 4 and 5.

M2-25 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 5, 8 and 9.

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
GRAYSON REPOWERING PROJECT**

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
March 1, 2018

M2 - Response to Comments received from Sam Alper during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power, held on October 19, 2017

M2-26 This is a general statement about the commenter's opinion of (or preference about) the Project. The comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the Final EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement is included in the Final EIR for the decision-maker's consideration as part of the City's deliberations on the Project.

M2-27 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 4 and 5.

M2 - Response to Comments received from Ely during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power, held on October 19, 2017

M2-28 This is a general statement about the commenter's opinion or (or preference about) the Project. The comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the Final EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement is included in the Final EIR for the decision-maker's consideration as part of the City's deliberations on the Project.

M2-29 Please refer to Topical Response No. 5.

M2-30 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 3, 4, 5, and 7.

M2-31 This is a general statement about the commenter's opinion or (or preference about) the Project. The comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the Final EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement is included in the Final EIR for the decision-maker's consideration as part of the City's deliberations on the Project. Please also refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 5.

M2-32 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 4 and 6.

M2 - Response to Comments received from Dorea Molina during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power, held on October 19, 2017

M2-33 Please refer to Topical Response No. 8.

M2-34 The Project does not propose to shut down the Grayson plant during brush fires or days with particularly poor air quality. These days are typically correlated with hot, summer days where it is particularly important for the public health and safety that power be provided for air conditioning. Please also refer to Topical Response No. 8.

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
GRAYSON REPOWERING PROJECT**

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
March 1, 2018

M2-35 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1 and 5.

M2-36 This is a general statement about the commenter's opinion or (or preference about) the Project. The comment does not identify a specific environmental analysis or CEQA issue relative to the Final EIR and compliance with CEQA. The commenter's statement is included in the Final EIR for the decision-maker's consideration as part of the City's deliberations on the Project. Please also refer to Topical Response No. 8,

M2 - Response to Comments received from John Maclin during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power, held on October 19, 2017

M2-37 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 8 and 9.

M2-38 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 5.

M2 - Response to Comments received from Grant Michaels during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power, held on October 19, 2017

M2-39 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, and 7.

M2 - Response to Comments received from Pia Harris during the Special Meeting of Glendale Water & Power, held on October 19, 2017

M2-40 Please refer to Topical Response No. 11.

9.1.3 Responses to Comments

9.1.3.1 1 through 99

L1 – Response to Comments from Eugene Wilson, dated September 18, 2017

L1-1 The Draft EIR was available for public review from September 18, 2017 through November 20, 2017, and continues to remain available, on the City of Glendale Community Development's website at: <http://www.glendaleca.gov/environmental>, the Project website: <http://graysonrepowering.com/#draft-eir>, and at the Glendale Central Library located at 222 E. Harvard Street, Glendale California 90215. Copies of the Draft EIR were also available at the Community Development Department of the City of Glendale, 633 East Broadway, Room 103, Glendale, California 91026-4386.

L2 – Response to Comments from Michael Bridges, dated October 01, 2017

L2-1 Please refer to Topical Response Nos. 1, 4, 5, and 15.

