



May 29, 2012

Elena Bolbolian, Senior Executive Analyst
City of Glendale
633 East Broadway, Suite 201
Glendale, CA 91206

Dear Ms. Bolbolian:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule Approval Letter

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (l) (2) (C), the City of Glendale Successor Agency submitted Recognized Obligation Payment Schedules (ROPS) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on May 23, 2012 for period January to June 2012 and July to December 2012. Finance is assuming appropriate board approval. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS, which included obtaining clarification for various items.

Except for the items disallowed below, Finance is approving the items listed in both ROPS.

January through June 2012 ROPS

- Central Project Area, Page 1, line item 5 is a city loan totaling \$49.5 million. HSC section 34171(d)(2) states that agreements, contracts, or arrangements between the city, county, or city and county that created the redevelopment agency and the former redevelopment agency are not enforceable obligations.
- Central Project Area, Page 3, line item 55 is for housing set aside totaling \$5.5 million. The requirement to set aside 20 percent of RDA tax increment for low and moderate income housing purposes ended with the passing of the redevelopment dissolution legislation.

July through December 2012 ROPS

- Central Project Area, Page 1, line item 5 is a city loan totaling \$49.4 million. HSC section 34171(d) (2) states that agreements, contracts, or arrangements between the city, county, or city and county that created the redevelopment agency and the former redevelopment agency are not enforceable obligations.
- Central Project Area, Page 3, line item 36 is for housing set aside totaling \$5.5 million. The requirement to set aside 20 percent of RDA tax increment for low and moderate income housing purposes ended with the passing of the redevelopment dissolution legislation.

This is our determination with respect to any items funded from the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) for the June 1, 2012 property tax allocations. If your oversight board disagrees with our determination with respect to any items not funded with property tax, any future resolution of the disputed issue may be accommodated by amending the ROPS for the

Ms. Bolbolian
May 29, 2012
Page 2

appropriate time period. Items not questioned during this review are subject to a subsequent review, if they are included on a future ROPS. If an item included on a future ROPS is not an enforceable obligation, Finance reserves the right to remove that item from the future ROPS, even if it was not removed from the preceding ROPS.

Please refer to Exhibit 12 at http://www.dof.ca.gov/assembly_bills_26-27/view.php for the amount of RPTTF that was approved by Finance based on the schedule submitted.

As you are aware the amount of available RPTTF is the same as the property tax increment that was available prior to ABx1 26. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Robert Scott, Supervisor or Jenny DeAngelis, Lead Analyst at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,



MARK HILL
Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Gillian van Muyden, General Counsel, City of Glendale
Ms. Kristina Burns, Program Specialist III, County of Los Angeles
Mr. Don T. Nguyen, Analyst, County of Los Angeles