



**PROPOSED
NEGATIVE DECLARATION**
Wireless Telecommunications Facility
1400 W. Kenneth Road

The following Negative Declaration has been prepared in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 as amended, the State Guidelines, and the Environmental Guidelines and Procedures of the City of Glendale.	
Project Title/Common Name:	Wireless Telecommunications Facility
Project Location:	1400 W. Kenneth Road, Glendale, Los Angeles County
Project Description:	The proposed project includes a new wireless telecommunications facility consisting of 12 antennas on 3 arrays mounted on a proposed 50 foot tall monopalm. The associated equipment is proposed within an existing building at the basement level. An emergency generator is proposed at the base of the monopalm within a new CMU equipment enclosure.
Project Type:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Private Project <input type="checkbox"/> Public Project
Project Applicant:	Verizon Wireless 15505 Sand Canyon Avenue Irvine, CA 92618
Findings:	The Director of the Community Development, on June 18, 2014 , after considering an Initial Study prepared by the Planning and Neighborhood Services Division, found that the above referenced project would not have a significant effect on the environment and instructed that a Negative Declaration be prepared.
Mitigation Measures:	No mitigation measures are required.
Attachments:	Initial Study Checklist
Contact Person:	Hassan Haghani, Director of Community Development City of Glendale Community Development Department 633 East Broadway Room 103 Glendale, CA 91206-4386 Tel: (818) 548-2140; Fax: (818) 240-0392

This page left intentionally blank.



INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST
 Wireless Telecommunications Facility
 1400 W. Kenneth Road

1. Project Title: Wireless Telecommunications Facility
2. Lead Agency Name and Address: City of Glendale Community Development Department Planning and Neighborhood Services Division 633 East Broadway, Room 103 Glendale, CA 91206
3. Contact Person and Phone Number: Brad Collin, Senior Planner Tel: (818) 548-3210 Fax: (818) 240-0392
4. Project Location: 1400 W. Kenneth Road, Glendale, Los Angeles County.
5. Project Sponsor's Name and Address: Cindy Leinart, Site Acquisition Manager Delta Groups Engineering, Inc. 2362 McGrath Avenue Irvine, CA 92614
6. General Plan Designation: Neighborhood Commercial
7. Zoning: C1 (Neighborhood Commercial) Zone
8. Description of the Project: (Describe the whole action involved, including but not limited to, later phases of the project, and any secondary support or off-site features necessary for its implementation.) The proposed project includes a new wireless telecommunications facility consisting of 12 antennas on 3 arrays mounted on a proposed 50 foot tall monopalm. The associated equipment is proposed within an existing building at the basement level. An emergency generator is proposed at the base of the monopalm within a new CMU equipment enclosure.
9. Surrounding Land Uses and Setting: <u>North:</u> Commercial <u>South:</u> Grand View Memorial Park Cemetery <u>East:</u> Commercial; Single-Family Residential <u>West:</u> Commercial
10. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval or participation agreement). None

11. Environmental Factors Potentially Affected:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact," as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- Aesthetics
- Agricultural and Forest Resources
- Air Quality
- Biological Resources
- Cultural Resources
- Geology / Soils
- Greenhouse Gas Emissions
- Hazards & Hazardous Materials
- Hydrology / Water Quality
- Land Use / Planning
- Mineral Resources
- Noise
- Population / Housing
- Public Services
- Recreation
- Transportation / Traffic
- Utilities / Service Systems
- Mandatory Findings of Significance

LEAD AGENCY DETERMINATION:

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.


Prepared by:

5/20/14
Date:


Reviewed by:

5/20/14
Date:

Signature of Director of Community Development or his or her designee authorizing the release of environmental document for public review and comment.


Director of Community Development:

6/18/14
Date:

12. Environmental Factors Potentially Affected:

The following section provides an evaluation of the impact categories and questions contained in the checklist and identifies mitigation measures, if applicable.

A. AESTHETICS

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				X
2. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				X
3. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?			X	
4. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				X

1) ***Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?***

No Impact. The subject property is developed with commercial buildings and related parking lot. The subject lot slopes down from Kenneth Road to the south. The property to the south contains the Grandview Cemetery, which is at a lower elevation than the subject lot. There are no scenic vistas in the area and as such the proposed 50 foot tall monopalm will not negatively impact any potential views.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

2) ***Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?***

No Impact. No state scenic highway is located adjacent to or within view of the project site. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

3) ***Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?***

Less Than Significant Impact. The subject site is currently developed with commercial buildings and a surface parking lot. The proposed project includes the installation of a wireless telecommunications facility that includes a 50 foot high monopole and equipment enclosure at the base of the pole to house the backup generator. The remaining equipment will be located in the basement of the existing commercial building.

In order to comply with the design standards in the Wireless Telecommunications Facility ordinance (Chapter 30.48 of the GMC), the applicant is proposing to camouflage the monopole to appear like a palm tree, which is a common tree for the area. In fact several palm trees are located on the cemetery property just south of the subject site. In addition, the proposed equipment enclosure at the base on the pole is designed to blend in with the existing commercial buildings.

The applicants proposed design and method of camouflage will be subject to the review and approval of the Planning Commission. Camouflaging the monopole as a monopalm will help to

mitigate the appearance of the proposed project by blending it in with the surroundings to the best extent possible. Other methods suggested in Chapter 30.48 include using exterior coatings and colors to match the predominate visual background, which in this case is the significant number of palm trees to the south. Based on the proposed design and the ability of the Planning Commission to require other design improvements, no significant impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

4) **Create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?**

No Impact. Day and nighttime lighting for the project would not increase beyond the existing condition since the site is unstaffed. Per the submitted plans, there are no exterior lights proposed on the subject mono-pole. No impacts associated with day and nighttime lighting are anticipated.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

B. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES

<i>In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and the forest carbon measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				X
2. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				X
3. Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)) or timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code section 4526)?				X
4. Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				X
5. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				X

1) **Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?**

No Impact. There is no prime farmland, unique farmland, or farmland of statewide importance within or adjacent to the proposed project site and no agricultural activities take place on the project site. No agricultural use zones currently exist within the city, nor are any agricultural zones proposed. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

2) **Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?**

No Impact. The project site is located in an urbanized area. No portion of the project site is proposed to include agricultural zoning designations or uses, nor do any such uses exist within the city under the current General Plan and zoning. There are no Williamson Act contracts in effect for the project site or surrounding vicinity. No conflicts with existing zoning for agricultural use or Williamson Act contract would result. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

3) **Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)) or timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code section 4526)?**

No Impact. There is no existing zoning of forest land or timberland in the City of Glendale. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

4) **Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?**

No Impact. There is no forest land within the City of Glendale. No forest land would be converted to non-forest use under the proposed project. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

5) **Involve other changes in the existing environment, which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of farmland to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?**

No Impact. There is no farmland or forest land in the vicinity of or on the proposed project site. No farmland would be converted to non-agricultural use and no forest land would be converted to non-forest use under the proposed project. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

C. AIR QUALITY

Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?				X
2. Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?			X	

<i>Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
3. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?			X	
4. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?			X	
5. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?			X	

1) ***Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?***

No Impact. The project site is located within the City of Glendale, which is part of the South Coast Air Basin (Basin) and is under the jurisdiction of the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). The SCAQMD is the agency responsible for preparing the Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) for the Basin. Since 1979, a number of AQMPs have been prepared. The most recent comprehensive plan fully approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) is the 2012 AQMP, which includes a variety of strategies and control measures.

The AQMP was prepared to accommodate growth, to reduce the high levels of pollutants within the areas under the jurisdiction of SCAQMD, to return clean air to the region, and to minimize the impact on the economy. Projects that are considered to be consistent with the AQMP would not interfere with attainment because this growth is included in the projections utilized in the formulation of the AQMP. Therefore, projects, uses, and activities that are consistent with the applicable assumption used in the development of the AQMP would not jeopardize attainment of the air quality levels identified in the AQMP, even if they exceed the SCAQMD's recommended daily emissions thresholds.

Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment and population forecasts identified in the Growth Management Chapter of the Regional Comprehensive Plan and Guide (RCPG) are considered consistent with the AQMP growth projections, since the Growth Management Chapter forms the basis of the land use and transportation control portions of the AQMP.

No population growth is associated with the proposed project because it consists of constructing an unstaffed wireless telecommunication facility. As a result, the proposed project will not result in population and housing growth that would cause growth in Glendale to exceed the SCAG forecast. Consequently, implementation of the proposed project would be consistent with AQMP attainment forecasts. Therefore, no impact would occur with relation to a conflict with, or obstruction of, the implementation of the SCAQMD AQMP.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

2) ***Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?***

Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed wireless telecommunication facility would be constructed on an existing parking lot. There would be minimal grading necessary to construct the project related to the trenching and construction of the necessary footings and foundations. No significant impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 3) **Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emission, which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?**

Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed project would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant. No significant impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 4) **Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?**

Less Than Significant Impact. The nearest sensitive residential receptors are located approximately 185 feet north and east of the project site. However, no construction or operational impacts are anticipated due to the small scale nature of the project and limited amount of construction necessary to install the proposed project. In addition, the project would be required to comply with all applicable rules that govern construction emissions. Therefore, no significant impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 5) **Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?**

Less Than Significant Impact. Short-term construction activity typically associated with new wireless telecommunication facilities may generate detectable exhaust odors from construction vehicles driving through the neighborhood in proximity to sensitive residential receptor locations. Any detectable odors or vehicle exhaust would only be associated with the initial construction phase of the project. This phase is considered short-term and typical for this type of construction activity. No long-term odor impacts are anticipated to occur after construction-related activity ceases since the site is unmanned and will not generate any related odors or additional traffic. No significant impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

D. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				X
2. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				X
3. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				X

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
4. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				X
5. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				X
6. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				X

- 1) ***Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?***

No Impact. The site is currently developed and is located in a heavily urbanized area. No natural vegetation exists on-site. No wildlife species other than those which can tolerate human activity and/or are typically found in urban environments are known to exist on-site. These human-tolerant species are neither sensitive, threatened, nor endangered. Implementation of the project would not result in any impact to species identified as endangered, threatened, sensitive or being of special concern by the California Department of Fish and Game or the United States Fish and Wildlife Service. The site does not provide suitable habitat for endangered or rare species. No impact will occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 2) ***Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?***

No Impact. The project site is currently developed and located in an area that has been urbanized for many years. No riparian habitat and/or other sensitive natural communities are present within the vicinity, and no such areas are present on-site or adjacent to the project site. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 3) ***Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?***

No Impact. The project site is currently developed and located in an area that has been urbanized for many years. No federally protected wetlands are present within the vicinity, and no such areas are present onsite or adjacent to the project site. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 4) ***Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?***

No Impact. The project site is currently developed and located in an area that has been urbanized for many years. The area has been substantially modified by human activity. Implementation of the proposed project will not interfere with the movement of native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 5) ***Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?***

No Impact. The Glendale Municipal Code, Section 12.44 specifically protects six different native or "indigenous" species of trees that include the Coast Live Oak, Valley Oak, Mesa Oak, Scrub Oak, California Sycamore and California Bay. There are no protected trees located on or within twenty feet of subject site. As a result, no impact would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 6) ***Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?***

No Impact. No Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved habitat conservation plan has been adopted to include the project site. Therefore, the project would not conflict with any such plans. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

E. CULTURAL RESOURCES

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in CEQA Guidelines §15064.5?				X
2. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to CEQA Guidelines §15064.5?				X
3. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				X
4. Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?			X	

- 1) ***Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in CEQA Guidelines §15064.5?***

No Impact. The project site is currently developed with commercial buildings and a surface parking lot. The proposed project will be located within the existing parking lot. No historic resources have

been identified on this site and the property is not within a historic district. No impacts to a historical resource would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

2) **Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to CEQA Guidelines §15064.5?**

No Impact. The project would require minimal grading and any surficial archaeological resources, which may have existed at one time, are not likely to be disturbed or destroyed. However, should any such resources be discovered at any time during the development of the project, they would be treated in accordance with state and federal guidelines for disclosure, recovery and preservation, as appropriate. No impacts to archaeological resources are anticipated.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

3) **Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?**

No Impact. The project would require minimal grading and any paleontological resources, which may have existed at one time, are not likely to be disturbed or destroyed. However, should any such resources be discovered at any time during the development of the project, they would be treated in accordance with state and federal guidelines for disclosure, recovery and preservation, as appropriate. No impacts to paleontological resources are anticipated.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

4) **Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?**

Less Than Significant Impact. The project site and surrounding area is characterized by developed commercial and residential properties. The Grandview Cemetery is located to the south of the subject site; however, the proposed location of the wireless telecommunication facility and related equipment will be located approximately 40 feet at the closest point of that cemetery. Nonetheless, if human remains are encountered during excavation and grading activities, State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 requires that no further disturbance shall occur until the County coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin and disposition pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 5097.98. If the remains are determined to be of Native American descent, the coroner has 24 hours to notify the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC). The NAHC will then contact the most likely descendant of the deceased Native American, who will then serve as a consultant on how to proceed with the remains (i.e., avoid removal or reburial). With implementation of this standard requirement no significant impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

F. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area				X

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?			X	
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				X
iv) Landslides?				X
2. Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				X
3. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				X
4. Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the California Building Code (2001), creating substantial risks to life or property?				X
5. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				X

1) ***Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:***

i) ***Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.***

No Impact. According to the City's Safety Element (August 2003), the subject site is not located within an Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone. In addition, no habitable structures or critical facilities are currently proposed as part of the project. Therefore, no impacts from the rupture of a seismic fault would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

ii) ***Strong seismic ground shaking?***

Less Than Significant Impact. The project site could be subject to strong ground shaking in the event of an earthquake originating along one of the faults listed as active or potentially active in the Southern California area. This hazard exists throughout Southern California and could pose a risk to public safety and property by exposing people, property, or infrastructure to potentially adverse effects, including strong seismic ground shaking. Compliance with applicable building codes would minimize structural damage to the new tower and the equipment building and ensure safety in the event of a moderate or major earthquake. In addition, no habitable structures or critical facilities are currently proposed as part of the project. Therefore, impacts related to strong seismic ground shaking would be less than significant.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

iii) ***Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?***

No Impact. The project site is not located within an area prone to liquefaction as indicated in the City's Safety Element (August 2003). Therefore, no impacts associated with liquefaction would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

iv) ***Landslides?***

No Impact. The project site is not located within a designated landslide hazard zone, as indicated in the City's Safety Element (August 2003). In addition, no habitable structures or critical facilities are currently proposed as part of the project. No landslide impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

2) ***Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?***

No Impact. Minimal grading would be required to develop the proposed project. Since, soils would be exposed for a limited amount of time, substantial erosion is not expected to occur. As a result, no impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

3) ***Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in an onsite or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?***

No Impact. Subsidence is the process of lowering the elevation of an area of the earth's surface and can be caused by tectonic forces deep within the earth or by consolidation and densification of sediments sometimes due to withdrawal of fluids such as groundwater. The project site is not located in an area of significant subsidence activity and the project would not include fluid withdrawal or removal. In addition, as indicated in Response F-1 (iii), above, the soil under the project site is not prone to liquefaction. Therefore, no impacts related to unstable soils would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

4) ***Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the California Building Code (2001), creating substantial risks to life or property?***

No Impact. The project site is not located on expansive soil. In addition, no habitable structures or critical facilities are currently proposed as part of the project. Therefore, no impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

5) ***Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?***

No Impact. The proposed project site will not be connected to the City's sewer system since the equipment buildings are not staffed. No septic tanks will be utilized as part of the project. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

G. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?				X
2. Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?				X

1) ***Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?***

No Impact. The project would not result in cumulatively considerable impacts associated with Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions since the project only involves the construction of an unstaffed wireless telecommunications facility. Minimal grading would be required to develop the proposed project. In addition, no habitable structures or critical facilities are currently proposed as part of the project. Once in operation, the only visits to the site would be for maintenance purposes. Therefore, GHG emissions would fall far below the thresholds established by SCAG. As a result, no new impacts are anticipated to result from the project.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

2) ***Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?***

No Impact. The project would not conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

H. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				X
2. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?			X	
3. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				X

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
4. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				X
5. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project site?				X
6. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project site?				X
7. Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				X
8. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				X

- 1) ***Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?***

No Impact. The proposed project involves the construction of one monopole antenna and an equipment building on a site that has been developed with commercial buildings and related parking lot since the 1940s. This type of development and operation does not involve any use, routine transport, or disposal of hazardous materials and no new hazardous materials will be generated at the site. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 2) ***Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?***

Less Than Significant Impact. The project would be required to comply with all applicable rules established by the SCAQMD, including Rule 403 and 402, during the construction phase of the project that would prevent dust from migrating beyond the project site. No significant impacts are anticipated to occur as a result of the proposed project.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 3) ***Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?***

No Impact. No school is within one quarter mile from of the site; therefore, no impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 4) **Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?**

No Impact. The project site is not included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 5) **For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project site?**

No Impact. The proposed project site is not located within an airport land use plan or within two miles of a public airport or public use airport. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 6) **For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project site?**

No Impact. No private airstrips are located in the City of Glendale or in the vicinity of the project site. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 7) **Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?**

No Impact. The nearest "City Disaster Response Route" is on Glenoaks Boulevard, as identified in the City of Glendale General Plan Safety Element (August 2003). The proposed project does not involve any changes to this street nor would the project result in the alteration of an adopted emergency response plan or evacuation plan. As such, no impacts to emergency response plans or emergency evacuation plans would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 8) **Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?**

No Impact. The project is not located within a "Fire Hazard Area" and would not be required to comply with Glendale Fire Department brush clearance requirements. No impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

I. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				X

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
2. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				X
3. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				X
4. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				X
5. Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				X
6. Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?				X
7. Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				X
8. Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				X
9. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				X
10. Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				X

1) ***Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?***

No Impact. Section 402 of the Clean Water Act, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has established regulations under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program to control direct storm water discharges. In Glendale, the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWCQB) administers the NPDES permitting program and is responsible for developing NPDES permitting requirements. The NPDES program regulates industrial pollutant discharges, including construction activities. Implementation of the proposed project will require compliance with all the NPDES requirements including the submittal and certification of plans and details showing both construction and post-construction Best Management Practices (BMPs) that are integrated into the design of the project. Impacts related to water quality are considered to be less than significant with the compliance of all applicable permitting requirements.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 2) ***Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?***

No Impact. The proposed project involves construction of an unstaffed wireless telecommunications facility within an existing surface parking lot. The project does not involve additions or withdrawals of groundwater. As a result, the project would not interfere with groundwater recharge. No impacts would occur as a result of the proposed project.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 3) ***Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of stream or river, in a manner, which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?***

No Impact. The proposed project will be located within the existing surface parking lot of a 34,870 square foot commercial property. The proposed improvements will be located within a walled off area. Currently, water which falls on the site is conveyed down the property and onto the street. The method of discharge associated with the area proposed for development will require the approval of the City Engineer. Based on the scope of the proposed project, it will not substantially alter the natural drainage of the site, and therefore, would not result in substantial increase in runoff. No significant impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 4) ***Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner, which would result in flooding on- or off-site?***

No Impact. Please refer to Response I-3 above.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 5) ***Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?***

No Impact. The amount of impervious surfaces would not increase since the proposed project will be located on top of an existing surface parking lot. The amount of runoff would be essentially the same as the existing conditions. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 6) ***Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?***

No Impact. Please refer to Response I-3 above.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 7) ***Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?***

No Impact. According to Federal Emergency Management Agency flood hazard maps, the project site is not located within a 100-year flood zone. In addition, no housing is proposed. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

8) **Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?**

No Impact. As indicated in Response I-7 above, the project site is not located within a 100-year floodplain or other flood hazard area, as shown on the latest FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

9) **Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?**

No Impact. According to the City of Glendale General Plan Safety Element (August 2003), the project site is not located within inundation zones from failure of upstream dams. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

10) **Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?**

No Impact. Tsunamis are large ocean waves generated by sudden water displacement caused by a submarine earthquake, landslide, or volcanic eruption. A review of the County of Los Angeles Flood and Inundation Hazards Map indicates that the site is not within the mapped tsunami inundation boundaries. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

J. **LAND USE AND PLANNING**

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Physically divide an established community?				X
2. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?			X	
3. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				X

1) **Physically divide an established community?**

No Impact. The proposed wireless telecommunication facility would be located within an existing commercial parking lot. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

2) **Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?**

Less Than Significant Impact. The existing zoning designation on the project site is C1 (Neighborhood Commercial) and the General Plan designation is Neighborhood Commercial. The City's Zoning Code requires approval of a Wireless Telecommunication Permit when a transmission

facility is located in the C1 Zone. The purpose of the wireless telecommunication permit process is to consider whether certain uses, because of their particular characteristics, will be suitable and compatible at a specific location with their surroundings. The project also requires approval of variance, which is included as part of the wireless telecommunication permit application, because the proposed 50 foot high tower exceeds the maximum allowable height of 25 feet. The proposed project would have a less than significant impact since the new monopole and an unstaffed equipment building would be located within an existing surface parking lot without the reduction in the amount of parking spaces. In addition, there are several power poles in the vicinity of the proposed monopole. The proposed monopole would be disguised as a palm tree to match other similar trees surrounding the property. The proposed location will not cause the loss of any parking spaces nor any landscaping. No significant impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

3) **Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?**

No Impact. There is no habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan in the project site or vicinity. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

K. **MINERAL RESOURCES**

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				X
2. Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				X

1) **Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?**

No Impact. The project site is not within an area that has been identified as containing valuable mineral resources, as indicated in the City's Open Space and Conservation Element (January 1993). Therefore, development within the project site would not result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

2) **Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?**

No Impact. As indicated in Response K-1 above, there are no known mineral resources within the project site. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

L. NOISE

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?			X	
2. Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?			X	
3. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				X
4. A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?			X	
5. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project site to excessive noise levels?				X
6. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project site to excessive noise levels?				X

1) ***Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?***

Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed project involves construction of an unstaffed wireless telecommunications facility within an existing commercial parking lot. Short-term construction noise levels are not expected to exceed the standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies. Any noise generated would be specific to the construction activity and would be considered short term. No significant impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

2) ***Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?***

Less Than Significant Impact. Excessive groundborne vibration is typically associated with activities such as blasting used in mining operations, or the use of pile drivers during construction. The project would not require any blasting activities and any earth movement associated with project construction will not require pile driving. Therefore, the project is not expected to generate excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels. No significant impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

3) ***A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?***

No Impact. The proposed project involves construction of an unstaffed wireless telecommunications facility within an existing commercial parking lot. No increase in ambient noise is anticipated since the facility is unstaffed and the majority of the equipment will be located in the basement of the existing commercial building. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 4) **A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?**

Less Than Significant Impact. Short-term noise impacts could occur as a result of construction activities. All development within the project site will be required to comply with the City of Glendale Noise Ordinance (Municipal Code Chapter 8.36), which prohibits construction activities except between the hours of 7:00 p.m. on one day and 7:00 a.m. of the next day or from 7:00 p.m. on Saturday to 7:00 a.m. on Monday or from 7:00 p.m. preceding a holiday. Compliance with the City's noise ordinance would ensure that noise impacts will be less than significant.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 5) **For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project site to excessive noise levels?**

No Impact. The project site is not located within an airport land use plan or within two miles of a public airport or public use airport. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 6) **For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project site to excessive noise levels?**

No Impact. There are no private airstrips located on or within the vicinity of the project site. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

M. POPULATION AND HOUSING

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				X
2. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				X
3. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				X

- 1) **Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?**

No Impact. The area immediately adjacent the property is currently developed and zoned either for cemetery use, neighborhood commercial or single-family residences. The proposed project involves construction of an unstaffed wireless telecommunications facility within an existing commercial

parking lot. The proposed project is a permitted use subject to obtaining a wireless telecommunication permit. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 2) **Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?**

No Impact. No residential units currently exist on the project site. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 3) **Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?**

No Impact. No housing currently exists on the project site. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

N. PUBLIC SERVICES

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
a) Fire protection?				X
b) Police protection?				X
c) Schools?				X
d) Parks?				X
e) Other public facilities?				X

- 1) **Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:**

- a) **Fire protection?**

No Impact. The overall need for fire protection services would not substantially increase as a result of the proposed project. The project will require review and approval by the Glendale Fire Department during the plan check process to ensure that the project complies with the Uniformed Fire Code. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

b) **Police protection?**

No Impact. The proposed project involves construction of an unstaffed wireless telecommunications facility within an existing commercial parking lot. The overall need for police protection services would not increase as a result of the proposed project. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

c) **Schools?**

No Impact. No residential development is associated with this project and no permanent new jobs would be created. Therefore, no impact would occur to schools.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

d) **Parks?**

No Impact. The proposed project would not involve the development or displacement of a park nor is the site planned for use as a park. The proposed project involves the construction of an unstaffed wireless telecommunications facility within an existing commercial parking lot and therefore, would not contribute to additional need for parks. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

e) **Other public facilities?**

No Impact. The proposed project involves construction of an unstaffed wireless telecommunications within an existing commercial parking lot. The subject property is already developed with commercial buildings and related surface parking spaces. The proposed project can be adequately served by existing public facilities. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

O. **RECREATION**

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				X
2. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				X

1) **Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?**

No Impact. The proposed project involves construction of an unstaffed wireless telecommunications. No residential dwelling is associated with this project. As a result, the proposed project would not increase the demand on existing parks. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 2) **Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?**

No Impact. The proposed project involves the construction of an unstaffed wireless telecommunications facility within an existing commercial parking lot. No recreational facilities are included in the proposed project. As indicated in Response O-1 above, the proposed project would not increase the demand on existing parks. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

P. **TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC**

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Exceed the capacity of the existing circulation system, based on an applicable measure of effectiveness (as designated in a general plan policy, ordinance, etc.), taking into account all relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?				X
2. Conflict with an applicable congestion management program including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				X
3. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				X
4. Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				X
5. Result in inadequate emergency access?				X
6. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?				X

- 1) **Exceed the capacity of the existing circulation system, based on an applicable measure of effectiveness (as designated in a general plan policy, ordinance, etc.), taking into account all relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?**

No Impact. The proposed project involves construction of an unstaffed wireless telecommunications facility within an existing commercial parking lot. The proposed facility will generate occasional trips for maintenance purposes; however these trips will not be frequent. No impact would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 2) **Conflict with an applicable congestion management program including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?**
No Impact. As discussed above in Response P-1, the proposed wireless telecommunication facility would not result in a permanent increase in traffic. No impacts would occur.
Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.
- 3) **Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?**
No Impact. The project site is not located within an airport land use plan or within the vicinity of a private air strip. No impacts on air traffic patterns would occur.
Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.
- 4) **Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?**
No Impact. The proposed project would not result in any changes to the existing roadway network. No impacts would occur.
Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.
- 5) **Result in inadequate emergency access?**
No Impact. No changes to the existing roadway network are proposed as a result of the project. Access to the property will be gained from Kenneth Road and Grandview Avenue, which are community collector streets. No impacts to emergency access would occur.
Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.
- 6) **Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?**
No Impact. The proposed project would not conflict with any adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding alternative transportation since no changes to the existing transportation policies, plans, or programs would result from project implementation. No impacts would occur.
Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

Q. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				X
2. Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				X
3. Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				X

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
4. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				X
5. Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				X
6. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?			X	
7. Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				X

1) ***Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?***

No Impact. Construction work associated with the proposed project as well as project operation would be required to comply with all applicable wastewater treatment requirements included NPDES and Best Management Practices (BMPs). No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

2) ***Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?***

No Impact. The proposed project would result in the construction of an unstaffed wireless telecommunications facility. The project would not increase the demand for new water or wastewater treatment facilities or the need to expand existing facilities. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

3) ***Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?***

No Impact. As indicated in Response Q-2 above, the proposed project would not increase the demand for new storm water drainage facilities or the need to expand existing facilities or construct new facilities. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

4) ***Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?***

No Impact. While water is provided to the commercial buildings; there are no new water supplies anticipated for the proposed project. No impact to the availability of water is anticipated since the proposed development does not require the use of water. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 5) **Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?**

No Impact. The proposed project is unmanned and therefore, would not create an increase in the generation of wastewater. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 6) **Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?**

Less Than Significant Impact. The majority of solid waste generated in the City of Glendale is transported to Scholl Canyon Landfill, which is owned by the City. An ordinance passed by the City of Glendale limits disposal at the landfill to solid wastes generated within the Los Angeles County incorporated Cities of Glendale, La Canada Flintridge, Pasadena, South Pasadena, San Marino, Sierra Madre; the Los Angeles County unincorporated communities known as Altadena, La Crescenta, Montrose; the unincorporated area bordered by the Cities of San Gabriel, Rosemead, Temple City, Arcadia, and Pasadena; the unincorporated area immediately to the north of Arcadia, and Pasadena; and the unincorporated area immediately to the north of the City of San Marino bordered by the City of Pasadena on the west, north and east sides.

Scholl Canyon Landfill has the capacity to accept solid waste until January 2019. Solid waste generation is expected to increase during the construction phase of the project. However, the existing solid waste system would be sufficient to accommodate waste generated by the project. No significant impacts to solid waste facilities are anticipated to occur as a result of the proposed project.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

- 7) **Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?**

No Impact. The proposed project will comply with all federal, state, and local statutes and regulations relating to solid waste. All construction debris will be disposed of according to applicable federal, state, and local statutes. No impacts would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation measures are required.

R. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				X

<i>Would the project:</i>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
2. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?				X
3. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				X

1) ***Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?***

No Impact. No impacts are anticipated to occur to the quality of the environment, fish or wildlife habitats, fish or wildlife populations, plant or animal communities, or to rare, threatened or endangered plant and animal species as a result of the proposed project. No impacts to cultural resources would occur.

2) ***Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?***

No Impact. Development of the proposed project will not increase traffic nor would it result in an increase in population as the project consists of constructing one new 50 foot tall monopalm antenna with related unstaffed equipment screened from view by a block wall. No impacts would occur.

3) ***Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?***

No Impact. Development of the proposed project would not create direct and indirect adverse effects on humans. No impacts would occur.

13. Earlier Analyses

None

14. Project References Used to Prepare Initial Study Checklist

One or more of the following references were incorporated into the Initial Study by reference, and are available for review in the Planning division Office, 633 E. Broadway, Rm. 103, Glendale, CA 91206-4386. Items used are referred to by number on the Initial Study Checklist.

1. Environmental Information Form application and materials submitted on July 23, 2013.
2. The City of Glendale's General Plan, as amended.
3. The City of Glendale's Municipal Code, as amended.
4. "Guidelines of the City of Glendale for the Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended," August 19, 2003, City of Glendale Planning Division.

5. Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq and California Code of Regulations, Title 14 Section 15000 et seq.
6. "*CEQA Air Quality Analysis Guidance Handbook*," updated October 2003, South Coast Air Quality Management District.