DESIGN REVIEW BOARD RECORD OF DECISION

(Page 1 of 2)

Meeting Date		January 20, 2011				DRB Case No.		2-PDR 2010-057-A
						Address		1200 E. Colorado Street
			Applicant		İ	John Fernandez-Salvador		
Desig	ın Review							
	Board Member	Motion	Second	Yes	No	Absent	Abstain	
	Boladian	Х		Χ				
	Geragos			Χ				
	Malekian					Χ		
	Sakai		Х	Χ				
	Zarifian			Χ				
	Totals			4	0	1	0	

Conditions

DRB Decision

- 1. Remove the changeable copy signs from the west elevation and lower the height of the recessed alcove to match that of the adjacent windows. Adjust proportions, design and finishes to be compatible but not competitive with the entries.
- 2. Reduce the dimension of the north side of the outdoor patio landscape planter by squaring the corner. Consider planting one plant material in the patio planter.
- 3. Consider updating the planting along the west side of the drive-through beginning at the menu boards.

Final design approved with conditions and considerations

- 4. Raise the height of the walls of the freezer lean-to that is located at the south elevation to create a similar square, box-like parapet design similar to the restaurant.
- 5. Face brick shall be used rather than thin brick.
- 6. Corner brick and tile shall be used.
- 7. End all materials in corners or appropriate end locations.
- 8. Add a decorative paving pattern or material to the restaurant's exterior walking surfaces.

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD RECORD OF DECISION (Page 2 of 2)

Meeting Date	January 20, 2011	DRB Case No.	2-PDR 2010-057-A				
		Address	1200 E. Colorado Street				
		Applicant	John Fernandez-Salvador				
Analysis							
		ng including the building and la pedestrian friendly streetscape	andscaping appears to be consistent with the intent and landscaping.				
Mass and Scale - The mass and scale of the remodeled building appears to be compatible with the character of the existing commercial and residential neighborhood and with the intent of the design guidelines.							
Building design and de design and detailing.	tailing - As conditioned, the	proposal appears to meet the i	intent of the Commercial Design Guidelines for				
	approves the design of projecth the Zoning Code and/or Bu		by the Design Review Board does not constitute an				
check. <u>Prior</u> to Building Del Board staff. <u>Any</u> changes to	partment plan check submittal, I to the approved plans may const	Design Review Board approved pitute returning to the Design Revie	plans may be submitted for Building Department plan lans must be stamped approved by Design Review ew Board for approval. <u>Prior</u> to Building plan check Board must be on file with the Planning Department.				
Please make an appointmer	nt with the case planner for DRB	stamp/sign-off prior to submitting	g for Building plan check.				
DRB Staff Member	Christopher E. Ba	axter					