

633 E. Broadway, Room 103 Glendale, CA 91206-4311 Tel 818.548.2140 Tel 818.548.2115 Fax 818.240.0392 ci.glendale.ca.us

May 19, 2015

Demery Matthews 3404 Buena Vista Avenue Glendale, CA 91208

RE: ADMINISTRATIVE DESIGN REVIEW CASE NO. PDR 1503428 3461 ROSEMARY AVENUE

Dear Ms. Matthews,

On May 19, 2015, the Director of Community Development, pursuant to the provisions of the Glendale Municipal Code, Title 30, Chapter 30.47, **APPROVED** your design review application to add approximately 311 square feet of floor area to an existing one-story single-family residence in the R1 Zone, Floor Area District II, located at **3461 Rosemary Avenue**.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL: None

SUMMARY OF THE DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT'S DECISION

Site Planning – The proposed site planning is appropriate to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The new 311 square foot addition with a new 70 square foot covered entry porch will be located at the front of the project site facing Rosemary Avenue.
- The addition will include enclosing the existing covered entry porch area into floor area and re-configuring the entryway.
- The proposed addition facing Rosemary Avenue will maintain the existing 30'-0" street front setback which is consistent throughout the block.
- The existing landscaping will be reconfigured to include permeable pavers and drought tolerant plants.
- There are no changes proposed to the existing garage location, driveway, walls, and fences on-site or along the perimeter.

Mass and Scale – The proposed massing and scale are appropriate to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The 311 square foot, single-story addition's height, roof pitches, building mass and proportion are consistent with the existing house and surrounding neighborhood.
- The existing 30'-0" street front setback will be maintained and is consistent with the entire block.
- The proposed addition is comparable to the existing mass, scale and proportions and will not change the overall height of 16'-6".
- The gable roof form and slope for the house addition will match the existing house.

Building Design and Detailing – The proposed design and detailing are appropriate to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- All new windows will be aluminum clad, block frame, double-hung and casement
 windows and will be recessed with wood frames and sills. Along the east elevation and
 the south elevation visible from the street, the windows will have external grids and all
 other windows on the north and west elevations not visible from the street, will be clear
 glass.
- Asphalt shingles will be installed to match the existing shingles.
- Permeable pavers, as proposed, will match the existing driveway.

This approval is for the project design only. Administrative Design Review approval of a project does not constitute compliance with the Zoning Code and/or Building Code requirements. Please refer to the end of this letter for information regarding plan check submittal. If there are any questions, please contact the case planner, Vista Ezzati, at 818-937-8180 or via email at VEzzati@glendaleca.gov.

APPEAL PERIOD (effective date), TIME LIMIT, LAPSE OF PRIVILEGES, TIME EXTENSION

The applicant's attention is called to the fact that this grant is not a permit or license and that any permits and licenses required by law must be obtained from the proper City and public agency.

Under the provisions of the Glendale Municipal Code, Title 30, Chapter 30.62, any person affected by the above decision has the right to appeal said decision to the Design Review Board if it is believed that the decision is in error or that procedural errors have occurred, or if there is substantial new evidence which could not have been reasonably presented. It is strongly advised that appeals be filed early during the appeal period and in person so that imperfections/incompleteness may be corrected before the appeal period expires. Any appeal must be filed on the prescribed forms within fifteen (15) days following the actual date of the decision. Information regarding appeals and appeal forms will be provided by the Permit Services Center (PSC) or the Community Development Department (CDD) upon request and must be filed with the prescribed fee prior to expiration of the 15-day period, on or before JUNE 3, 2015 at the Permit Services Center (PSC), 633 East Broadway, Room 101, Monday thru Friday 7:00 am to 12:00 pm, or at the Community Development Department (CDD), 633 East Broadway, Room 103, Monday thru Friday 12:00 pm to 5 pm.

APPEAL FORMS available on-line: www.glendaleca.gov/appeals

To save you time and a trip - please note that some of our FORMS are available on line and may be downloaded. AGENDAS and other NOTICES are also posted on our website. Visit us.

TRANSFERABILITY

This authorization runs with the land or the use for which it was intended for and approved. In the event the property is to be leased, rented or occupied by any person or corporation other than yourself, it is incumbent that you advise them regarding the conditions and/or limitations of this grant.

EXTENSION: An extension of the design review approval may be requested one time and extended for up to a maximum of one (1) additional year upon receipt of a written request from the applicant and demonstration that a reasonable effort to act on such right and privilege has commenced within the two (2) years of the approval date. In granting such extension the

applicable review authority shall make a written finding that neighborhood conditions have not substantially changed since the granting of the design review approval.

NOTICE - subsequent contacts with this office

The applicant is further advised that all subsequent contact with this office regarding this determination must be with the case planner, **Vista Ezzati**, who acted on this case. This would include clarification and verification of condition compliance and plans or building permit applications, etc., and shall be accomplished **by appointment only**, in order to assure that you receive service with a minimum amount of waiting. You should advise any consultant representing you of this requirement as well.

If an appeal is not filed within the 15-day appeal period of the decision, plans may be submitted for Building and Safety Division plan check. Prior to Building and Safety Division plan check submittal, approved plans must be stamped approved by Planning Division staff. Any changes to the approved plans will require resubmittal of revised plans for approval. Prior to Building and Safety Division plan check submittal, all changes to approved plans must be on file with the Planning Division.

An appointment must be made with the case planner, Vista Ezzati, for stamp and signature prior to submitting for Building plan check. Please contact Vista Ezzati directly at 818-937-8180 or via email at VEzzati@glendaleca.gov.

Sincerely,

PHILIP LANZAFAME

Interim Director of Community Development

Urban Design Studio Staff

PL:KA:ve

City of Glendale Community Development Department Design Review Staff Report – Single Family

None□ Other:

Meeting/Decision Date: May 19, 2015	Address: 3461 Rosemary Avenue
Review Authority: □DRB ☑ADR □HPC □CC	APN: 5615-014-016
Case Number: PDR 1503428	Applicant: Demery Matthews
Prepared By: Vista Ezzati, Planning Assistant	Owner: Jeffrey and Heather Searock
Project Summary This is an addition of approximately 311 square for family home. The proposed addition will be locate Rosemary Avenue. The proposed addition to the existing 30'-0" street front setback from Rosemary	ed at the front of the house and visible from existing single-family dwelling will maintain the
The proposed work includes:	
 home. Adding a new 70 square foot covered entry Replacing all of the doors and windows, inc 	
Existing Property/Background The project site is a 6,500 square-foot lot with from 1,580 square-foot single-family home with an attal existing two-car garage is located at the rear of the and is attached to the existing house by a breezen rectangular shape similar to other properties in the is setback approximately 30'-0" from Rosemary Approject.	ched two-car garage on the project site. The e property, accessed from Rosemary Avenue, way. The existing lot is relatively flat and has a e neighborhood. The existing single-family home
Staff Recommendation ☑ Approve ☐ Approve with Conditions ☐	Return for Redesign Deny
Last Date Reviewed / Decision ⊠ First time submittal for final review. □ Other:	
Zone: R1 FAR District: II Although this design review does not convey final zonion consistency with the applicable Codes and no inconsis	
Active/Pending Permits and Approvals	

15301 of the State C The project is exemple.	CEQA Guidelines. pt from CEQA review as a Cla	ass 1 "Existing Facilities" exenass 3 "New Construction or Coor of the State CEQA Guidelines	onversion of Small
and/or fill); no addition	ent average slope and less th onal review required. greater of earth movement:	an 1500 cubic yards of earth r	novement (cut
oo % of greater curre	ent average slope.		
Comparison of Neigh	hborhood Survey:		
	Average of Properties within 300 linear feet of subject property	Range of Properties within 300 linear feet of subject property	Subject Property Proposal
Lot size	6,770 sq. ft.	6,500 - 13,000 sq. ft.	6,500 sq. ft.
Setback	30'-0"	30'-0"	30'-0"
House size	1,588 sq. ft.	1,061 to 2,392 sq. ft.	1,891 sq. ft.
Floor Area Ratio	0.24	0.16 to 0.35	0.29
Number of stories	83% of homes are one- story	1 to 2 stories	1 story
DESIGN ANALYSIS	3		
Site Planning Are the following items Building Location ⊠ yes □ n/a □	1	ole with the project site and s	surrounding area?
	ldings on site		
Garage Location ☐ yes ⊠ n/a [
If "no" select from be □Predominant pa □Compatible with □Permeable pavi □Decorative pavi	attern on block n primary structure ing material		

Landscape Design ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain: □Complementary to building design □Maintains existing trees when possible □Maximizes permeable surfaces □Appropriately sized and located
Walls and Fences ☐ yes ☑ n/a ☐ no
If "no" select from below and explain: □Appropriate style/color/material □Perimeter walls treated at both sides □Retaining walls minimized □Appropriately sized and located
Determination of Compatibility: Site Planning
The proposed site planning is appropriate to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:
 The new 311 square foot addition with a new 70 square foot covered entry porch will be located at the front of the project site facing Rosemary Avenue. The addition will include enclosing the existing covered entry porch area into floor area and reconfiguring the entryway. The proposed addition facing Rosemary Avenue will maintain the existing 30'-0" street front setback which is consistent throughout the block. The existing landscaping will be reconfigured to include permeable pavers and drought tolerant plants. There are no changes proposed to the existing garage location, driveway, walls, and fences on-site or along the perimeter.
Massing and Scale Are the following items satisfactory and compatible with the project site and surrounding area?
Building Relates to its Surrounding Context ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain: □ Appropriate proportions and transitions □ Relates to predominant pattern □ Impact of larger building minimized
Building Relates to Existing Topography ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain: □ Form and profile follow topography □ Alteration of existing land form minimized □ Retaining walls terrace with slope

Consistent Architectural Concept ☑ yes ☐ n/a ☐ no
If "no" select from below and explain: Concept governs massing and height
Scale and Proportion ☑ yes ☐ n/a ☐ no
If "no" select from below and explain: □ Scale and proportion fit context □ Articulation avoids overbearing forms □ Appropriate solid/void relationships □ Entry and major features well located □ Avoids sense of monumentality
Roof Forms ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain: □Roof reinforces design concept □Configuration appropriate to context
Determination of Compatibility: Mass and Scale
The proposed massing and scale are appropriate to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:
 The 311 square foot, single-story addition's height, roof pitches, building mass and proportion are consistent with the existing house and surrounding neighborhood. The existing 30'-0" street front setback will be maintained and is consistent with the entire block. The proposed addition is comparable to the existing mass, scale and proportions and will not change the overall height of 16'-6". The gable roof form and slope for the house addition will match the existing house.
Design and Detailing Are the following items satisfactory and compatible with the project site and surrounding area?
Overall Design and Detailing ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
Entryway ⊠ yes ☐ n/a ☐ no
If "no" select from below and explain: □Well integrated into design □Avoids sense of monumentality □Design provides appropriate focal point □Doors appropriate to design

Windows ☑ yes ☐ n/a ☐ no
If "no" select from below and explain: □ Appropriate to overall design □ Placement appropriate to style □ Recessed in wall, when appropriate □ Articulation appropriate to style
Privacy ☐ yes ⊠ n/a ☐ no
If "no" select from below and explain: □ Consideration of views from "public" rooms and balconies/decks □ Avoid windows facing adjacent windows
Finish Materials and Color ☑ yes ☐ n/a ☐ no
If "no" select from below and explain: ☐ Textures and colors reinforce design ☐ High-quality, especially facing the street ☐ Respect articulation and façade hierarchy ☐ Wrap corners and terminate appropriately ☐ Natural colors used in hillside areas
Paving Materials ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain: □Decorative material at entries/driveways □Permeable paving when possible □Material and color related to design
Equipment, Trash, and Drainage ☐ yes ☐ n/a ☐ no
If "no" select from below and explain: □ Equipment screened and well located □ Trash storage out of public view □ Downspouts appropriately located □ Vents, utility connections integrated with design, avoid primary facades □ Downspouts appropriately located
Ancillary Structures □ yes ⊠ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain: □ Design consistent with primary structure □ Design and materials of gates complement primary structure

Determination of Compatibility: Design and Detailing

The proposed design and detailing are appropriate to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- All new windows will be aluminum clad, block frame, double-hung and casement windows and will be
 recessed with wood frames and sills. Along the east elevation and the south elevation visible from the
 street, the windows will have external grids and all other windows on the north and west elevations
 not visible from the street, will be clear glass.
- Asphalt shingles will be installed to match the existing shingles.
- Permeable pavers, as proposed, will match the existing driveway.

Recommendation / Draft Record of Decision

Based on the above analysis, staff recommends approval of the project.

Conditions

1. None

Attachments

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Neighborhood Survey
- 3. Photos of Existing Property
- 4. Reduced Plans