633 E. Broadway, Room 103 Glendale, CA 91206-4311 Tel 818.548.2140 Tel 818.548.2115 Fax 818.240.0392 ci.glendale.ca.us

August 31, 2015

Hamlet Zohrabians 3467 Ocean View Blvd., Suite B Glendale, CA 91208

RE:

ADMINISTRATIVE DESIGN REVIEW CASE NO. PDR 1506199

1925 Erin Way

Dear Mr. Zohrabians,

On August 31, 2015, the Director of Community Development, pursuant to the provisions of the Glendale Municipal Code, Title 30, Chapter 30.47, APPROVED your design review application to construct a covered pavilion containing outdoor living and dining rooms, a bar/BBQ and a pool bath, extension of an existing deck and a new swimming pool (the existing swimming pool will be demolished) on the site of an existing single-family residence in the R1R Zone, Floor Area District III, located at 1925 Erin Way. The staff report is attached.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL:

 Drought-tolerant landscaping and irrigation shall be installed at the base of the retaining wall to screen and minimize the visual impact of this wall as viewed from below the subject property.

SUMMARY OF THE DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT'S DECISION

Site Planning - The proposed site planning is appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The proposed project does not alter the site planning of the lot significantly and is consistent with the existing neighborhood pattern.
- While the expanded deck and pool cantilever over the existing topography of the site, no development is located immediately to the south, negating aesthetic and privacy concerns.
- As a condition of approval, drought-tolerant landscaping and irrigation shall be installed below the retaining wall to lessen the visual impact of this wall.

Mass and Scale - The proposed massing and scale are appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The cabana and pool will be located on an already graded portion of the site.
- The cabana is 14 feet high in a neighborhood containing all two-story homes.

Design and Detailing - The proposed design and detailing are appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

Colors and materials of the cabana match the existing house.

Response to Community Input Received During Comment Period

There were no comments received from the community during the comment period.

This approval is for the project design only. Administrative Design Review approval of a project does not constitute compliance with the Zoning Code and/or Building Code requirements. Please refer to the end of this letter for information regarding plan check submittal. If there are any questions, please contact the case planner, Roger Kiesel, at 818-937-8152 or via email at rkiesel@glendaleca.gov.

APPEAL PERIOD (effective date), TIME LIMIT, LAPSE OF PRIVILEGES, TIME EXTENSION

The applicant's attention is called to the fact that this grant is not a permit or license and that any permits and licenses required by law must be obtained from the proper City and public agency.

Under the provisions of the Glendale Municipal Code, Title 30, Chapter 30.62, any person affected by the above decision has the right to appeal said decision to the Design Review Board if it is believed that the decision is in error or that procedural errors have occurred, or if there is substantial new evidence which could not have been reasonably presented. It is strongly advised that appeals be filed early during the appeal period and in person so that imperfections/incompleteness may be corrected before the appeal period expires. Any appeal must be filed on the prescribed forms within fifteen (15) days following the actual date of the decision. Information regarding appeals and appeal forms will be provided by the Permit Services Center (PSC) or the Community Development Department (CDD) upon request and must be filed with the prescribed fee prior to expiration of the 15-day period, on or before **September 15, 2015** at the Permit Services Center (PSC), 633 East Broadway, Room 101, Monday thru Friday 7:00 am to 12:00 pm, or at the Community Development Department (CDD), 633 East Broadway, Room 103, Monday thru Friday 12:00 pm to 5 pm.

APPEAL FORMS available on-line: www.glendaleca.gov/appeals

To save you time and a trip - please note that some of our FORMS are available on line and may be downloaded. AGENDAS and other NOTICES are also posted on our website. Visit us.

TRANSFERABILITY

This authorization runs with the land or the use for which it was intended for and approved. In the event the property is to be leased, rented or occupied by any person or corporation other than yourself, it is incumbent that you advise them regarding the conditions and/or limitations of this grant.

EXTENSION: An extension of the design review approval may be requested one time and extended for up to a maximum of one (1) additional year upon receipt of a written request from the applicant and demonstration that a reasonable effort to act on such right and privilege has commenced within the two (2) years of the approval date. In granting such extension the applicable review authority shall make a written finding that neighborhood conditions have not substantially changed since the granting of the design review approval.

NOTICE - subsequent contacts with this office

The applicant is further advised that all subsequent contact with this office regarding this determination must be with the case planner, **Roger Kiesel**, who acted on this case. This would include clarification and verification of condition compliance and plans or building permit applications, etc., and shall be accomplished **by appointment only**, in order to assure that you receive service with a minimum amount of waiting. You should advise any consultant representing you of this requirement as well.

If an appeal is not filed within the 15-day appeal period of the decision, plans may be submitted for Building and Safety Division plan check. Prior to Building and Safety Division plan check submittal, approved plans must be stamped approved by Planning Division staff. Any changes to the approved plans will require resubmittal of revised plans for approval. Prior to Building and Safety Division plan check submittal, all changes to approved plans must be on file with the Planning Division.

An appointment must be made with the case planner, Roger Kiesel, for stamp and signature prior to submitting for Building plan check. Please contact Roger Kiesel directly at 818-937-8152 or via email at RKiesel@glendaleca.gov.

Sincerely,

PHILIP LANZAFAME

Director of Community Development

Urban Design Studio Staff

RK:rk

Attach: staff report

City of Glendale Community Development Department Design Review Staff Report – Single Family

| Meeting/Decision Date: August 28, 2015 | Address: 1925 Erin Way | |
|---|---|--|
| Review Authority: □DRB ⊠ADR □HPC □CC | APN: 5659-028-021 | |
| Case Number: 1506199 | Applicant: Hamlet Zohrabians | |
| Prepared By: Roger Kiesel | Owner: Vahram Antonyan | |
| Project Summary The applicant is requesting approval to allow the construction of a covered cabana containing outdoor living and dining rooms, a bar/BBQ and a pool bath, extension of an existing deck and a new swimming pool (the existing swimming pool will be demolished to enable construction of the cabana). The existing single-family residence with attached three-car garage will not be altered as a result of the project. The proposed work includes: | | |
| Constructing a covered cabana. Extending an existing deck. Constructing a new swimming pool. Demolishing the existing swimming pool. | | |
| Existing Property/Background The existing property includes a single-family residence with an attached three-car garage and a swimming pool. | | |
| Staff Recommendation ☐ Approve ☑ Approve with Conditions ☐ | Return for Redesign | |
| Last Date Reviewed / Decision | | |
| Zone: R1R FAR District: III Although this design review does not convey final zonin consistency with the applicable Codes and no inconsist | ng approval, the project has been reviewed for encies have been identified. | |
| Active/Pending Permits and Approvals None Other: | | |
| CEQA Status: ☐ The project is exempt from CEQA review as a Class 15301 of the State CEQA Guidelines. ☐ The project is exempt from CEQA review as a Class Structures" exemption pursuant to Section 15303 of Other: | s 1 "Existing Facilities" exemption pursuant to Section s 3 "New Construction or Conversion of Small the State CEQA Guidelines. | |
| Site Slope and Grading None proposed Less than 50% current average slope and less than and/or fill); no additional review required. | 1500 cubic yards of earth movement (cut | |

| Comparison of Neig | | | |
|--|--|---|---|
| | Average of Properties within 300 linear feet of subject property | Range of Properties within 300 linear feet of subject property | Subject Property Proposal |
| Lot size | 5,261 sq.ft. | 3,710 sq.ft 38,459sq.ft. | 5,800 sq.ft. |
| Setback | 16 ft. | 7 ft 25ft. | 14.5 ft. |
| House size | 1,179 sq.ft. | 400 sq.ft 1,702 sq.ft. | 1,860 sq.ft. |
| Floor Area Ratio | 22% | 8% - 51% | 32% |
| Number of stories | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ☐ Building and de ☐ Equipment loca The roof of the pool the interior property and is a permitted p extension and pool | | e in the cabana encroaches int developed portion of the site, graphy approximately 11 feet in | o the setback two feet the proposed deck height. No |
| acrolophient is loca | | | |
| Garage Location yes Na [If "no" select from be | no elow and explain: attern on block primary structure ing material | | |

A landscape planter is being expanded adjacent to the south side of the existing residence and includes drought-tolerant planting. Drought-tolerant landscaping and an irrigation sstem shall be installed at the base of the retaining wall to screen and minimize the visual impact as viewed from below the subject property.

| Walls and Fences ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no |
|---|
| If "no" select from below and explain: □Appropriate style/color/material □Perimeter walls treated at both sides □Retaining walls minimized □Appropriately sized and located |
| Determination of Compatibility: Site Planning |
| The proposed site planning is appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons: |
| The proposed project does not alter the site planning of the lot significantly and is consistent with the existing neighborhood pattern. While the expanded deck and pool cantilever over the existing topography of the site, no development is located immediately to the south, negating aesthetic and privacy concerns. As a condition of approval, drought-tolerant landscaping and irrigation shall be installed below the retaining wall to lessen the visual impact of this wall. |
| Massing and Scale Are the following items satisfactory and compatible with the project site and surrounding area? |
| Building Relates to its Surrounding Context ☑ yes ☐ n/a ☐ no |
| If "no" select from below and explain: □ Appropriate proportions and transitions □ Relates to predominant pattern □ Impact of larger building minimized The proposed cabana is one story and 14 feet in height. Development in this area includes all two story homes. |
| Building Relates to Existing Topography ☑ yes ☐ n/a ☐ no |
| If "no" select from below and explain: □Form and profile follow topography □Alteration of existing land form minimized □Retaining walls terrace with slope The area of the lot proposed for the cabana is already graded and currently contains a pool. A new pool will be located to the south of the proposed cabana. While the deck and pool cantelever over the existing site topography, no development is located immediately to the south, negating aesthetic and privacy concerns. |
| Consistent Architectural Concept ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no |
| If "no" select from below and explain: Concept governs massing and height |
| Scale and Proportion ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no |

| If "no" select from below and explain: |
|---|
| □ Scale and proportion fit context |
| □Articulation avoids overbearing forms |
| □ Appropriate solid/void relationships |
| □ Entry and major features well located |
| □ Avoids sense of monumentality |
| Roof Forms ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no |
| If "no" select from below and explain: |
| Roof reinforces design concept |
| ☐Configuration appropriate to context |
| Determination of Compatibility: Mass and Scale |
| |
| The proposed massing and scale are appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons: |
| The cabana and pool will be located on an already graded portion of the site. |
| The cabana is 14 feet high in a neighborhood containing all two-story homes. |
| Decima and Detailing |
| Design and Detailing |
| Are the following items satisfactory and compatible with the project site and surrounding area? |
| Overall Design and Detailing ⊠ yes ☐ n/a ☐ no |
| Entryway □ yes ⊠ n/a □ no |
| If "no" select from below and explain: |
| □Well integrated into design |
| ☐ Avoids sense of monumentality |
| ☐ Design provides appropriate focal point |
| □ Doors appropriate to design |
| Windows |
| ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no |
| If "no" select from below and explain: |
| □Appropriate to overall design |
| ☐Placement appropriate to style |
| □ Recessed in wall, when appropriate |
| ☐ Articulation appropriate to style |
| The proposed window in the pool bath will match the windows on the existing residence. |
| Privacy ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no |
| If "no" select from below and explain: |
| ☐ Consideration of views from "public" rooms and balconies/decks |
| Avoid windows facing adjacent windows |

An existing deck is proposed to be expanded to the south. Privacy should not be a concern due to the topography of the surrounding area and the lack of development to the immediate south of the subject site.

| Finish Materials and Color ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no |
|--|
| If "no" select from below and explain: ☐ Textures and colors reinforce design ☐ High-quality, especially facing the street ☐ Respect articulation and façade hierarchy ☐ Wrap corners and terminate appropriately ☐ Natural colors used in hillside areas The material proposed for the cabana will match that of the existing residence. |
| Paving Materials ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no |
| If "no" select from below and explain: □ Decorative material at entries/driveways □ Permeable paving when possible □ Material and color related to design |
| Equipment, Trash, and Drainage ⊠ yes ☐ n/a ☐ no |
| If "no" select from below and explain: □ Equipment screened and well located □ Trash storage out of public view □ Downspouts appropriately located □ Vents, utility connections integrated with design, avoid primary facades □ Downspouts appropriately located |
| Ancillary Structures ⊠ yes ☐ n/a ☐ no |
| If "no" select from below and explain: Design consistent with primary structure Design and materials of gates complement primary structure The cabana will be faced with stucco and contain a tile roof. These materials are consistent with the materials used on the existing single-family residence. |

Determination of Compatibility: Design and Detailing

The proposed design and detailing are appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

Colors and materials of the cabana match the existing house.

Hillside Development Review Policy

Section 30.11.040 (A) of the Glendale Municipal Code requires every discretionary decision related to the development in the ROS and R1R zones to consider certain findings when evaluating a proposed project. The findings include the following:

- Development shall be compatible with the surrounding neighborhood in terms of site layout.
 - The site layout does not change significantly as a result of the project. No changes to the existing residence, including the garage or driveway configuration are proposed. The project

proposes additional development in the rear yard, including a cabana, extension of an existing deck and a new pool (the existing pool will be filled to enable development of the cabana).

- Site plans shall show preservation of prominent natural features, native vegetation and open space in a manner compatible with the surrounding neighborhood, minimizing alterations of terrain necessary for development.
 - The existing site was graded to enable construction of the existing single-family house on a flat pad. The deck extension and pool cantilever over the terrain south of the existing pad where the site slopes steeply but no alteration to the topography of the site is proposed.
- Site plans for development of property on steep slopes shall take into account the visual impact on surrounding properties.
 - The northern portion of the site was graded to provide a flat pad for development of the single-family house. The southern portion of the site has a manufactured slope which slopes steeply down hill. The deck extension and pool cantilever over this area but due to the topography of the subject site and surrounding area and the location of the existing houses, visual impact will be minimal.
- Development shall be compatible with the surrounding neighborhood in terms of size, scale, mass/bulk, roofline orientation and setbacks.
 - No changes are proposed to the existing residence. The proposed cabana will be one-story in a neighborhood containing all two-story houses. The 6-foot interior setback is consistent with the existing residence and that of the houses in the neighborhood.
- The architectural style and architectural elements of infill development shall be compatible with the surrounding neighborhood.
 - The materials proposed on the cabana are consistent with the existing single-family residence. Walls will be faced with stucco and the roofing is a flat tile.

Recommendation / Draft Record of Decision

Based on the above analysis, staff recommends approval with conditions of the project.

 Drought-tolerant landscaping and irrigation shall be installed at the base of the retaining wall to screen and minimize the visual impact of this wall as viewed from below the subject property.

Attachments

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Photos of Existing Property
- 3. Reduced Plans