

633 E. Broadway, Room 103 Glendale, CA 91206-4311 Tel 818.548.2140 Tel 818.548.2115 Fax 818.240.0392 ci.glendale.ca.us

September 17, 2015

Ms. Jaehee Ghanati 2938 Mary St. La Crescenta, CA 91214

RE: ADMINISTRATIVE DESIGN REVIEW
CASE NO. PDR 1516738
2005 Glenwood Road, Glendale, CA 91201

Dear Ms. Ghanati:

On September 17, 2015, the Director of Community Development, pursuant to the provisions of the Glendale Municipal Code, Title 30, Chapter 30.47, **APPROVED** your design review application for an addition of 538 sq.ft. to an existing 792 sq.ft. single-family dwelling. A new two-car attached garage will be constructed and the existing one-car garage will be demolished. The windows of the existing house will be replaced. The existing dwelling is one story and will remain one story with the proposed addition. The staff report is attached.

## **CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

- Window types A and B shall have external grids or no grids. Internal grids are not acceptable at these locations.
- 2. All new windows to be recessed within openings which will have projecting sills. New sills shall be added at openings in existing structure to match elevation drawings.
- 3. Provide vertical section drawing for typical window openings.

## SUMMARY OF DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT'S DECISION

**Site Planning** – The proposed site planning is appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The proposed addition will bring the front of the building closer to the street frontage of the property, consistent with the site planning of the neighboring properties.
- The front yard will remain open and will continue to provide a sense of openness to the street.
- The two-car garage is designed as an integral part of the dwelling and will have doors facing away from the street. Also, the garage will make use of the existing driveway accessible from Glenwood Road.
- The driveway and walkway will include decorative paving materials.
- The existing plant materials will remain.

**Mass and Scale** – The proposed massing and scale are appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

• The dwelling will remain one-story with an overall height of approximately 16 feet and will be consistent with the single-story height of the adjacent buildings.

- The addition will have a pitched roof with clay tiles and will be designed to integrate architecturally with the flat roof the existing house.
- The front porch will have an arcade facing the street and will be designed with modest proportions.
- The garage wall facing the street will contain windows similar to the windows of the house and will
  project an attractive residential character to the street.

**Building Design and Detailing** – The proposed design and detailing are appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The details throughout the building are consistent with the Spanish style, including the porch with arches, the doors, the clay roof tiles and the exposed rounded rafter ends.
- The entry porch is integrated into the building and is of modest proportions.
- All windows in prominent locations will be double-hung or casement with sills as conditioned.
- The front door and garage doors will be stained wood and will have a rustic appearance.
- The building walls will be white stucco, which is appropriate to the proposed style.

## Response to Community Input Received During Comment Period

There were no comments received from the community during the comment period.

This approval is for the project design only. Administrative Design Review approval of a project does not constitute compliance with the Zoning Code and/or Building Code requirements. Please refer to the end of this letter for information regarding plan check submittal. If there are any questions, please contact the case planner, Chris Baghdikian, at 818-937-8182 or via email at <a href="mailto:cbaghdikian@glendaleca.gov">cbaghdikian@glendaleca.gov</a>.

# APPEAL PERIOD (effective date), TIME LIMIT, LAPSE OF PRIVILEGES, TIME EXTENSION

The applicant's attention is called to the fact that this grant is not a permit or license and that any permits and licenses required by law must be obtained from the proper City and public agency.

Under the provisions of the Glendale Municipal Code, Title 30, Chapter 30.62, any person affected by the above decision has the right to appeal said decision to the Planning Commission if it is believed that the decision is in error or that procedural errors have occurred, or if there is substantial new evidence which could not have been reasonably presented. It is strongly advised that appeals be filed early during the appeal period and in person so that imperfections/incompleteness may be corrected before the appeal period expires. Any appeal must be filed on the prescribed forms within fifteen (15) days following the actual date of the decision. Information regarding appeals and appeal forms will be provided by the Permit Services Center (PSC) or the Community Development Department (CDD) upon request and must be filed with the prescribed fee prior to expiration of the 15-day period, on or before October 2, 2015, at the Permit Services Center (PSC), 633 East Broadway, Room 101, Monday thru Friday 7:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m., or at the Community Development Department (CDD), 633 East Broadway, Room 103, Monday thru Friday 12:00 p.m. to 5 p.m.

## APPEAL FORMS available on-line: www.glendaleca.gov/appeals

To save you time and a trip - please note that some of our FORMS are available on line and may be downloaded. AGENDAS and other NOTICES are also posted on our website.

## **TRANSFERABILITY**

This authorization runs with the land or the use for which it was intended for and approved. In the event the property is to be leased, rented or occupied by any person or corporation other than yourself, it is incumbent that you advise them regarding the conditions and/or limitations of this grant.

**EXTENSION**: An extension of the design review approval may be requested one time and extended for up to a maximum of one (1) additional year upon receipt of a written request from the applicant and demonstration that a reasonable effort to act on such right and privilege has commenced within the two (2) years of the approval date. In granting such extension the applicable review authority shall make a written finding that neighborhood conditions have not substantially changed since the granting of the design review approval.

## NOTICE - subsequent contacts with this office

The applicant is further advised that all subsequent contact with this office regarding this determination must be with the case planner, Chris Baghdikian, who acted on this case. This would include clarification and verification of condition compliance and plans or building permit applications, etc., and shall be accomplished **by appointment only**, in order to assure that you receive service with a minimum amount of waiting. You should advise any consultant representing you of this requirement as well.

If an appeal is not filed within the 15-day appeal period of the decision, plans may be submitted for Building and Safety Division plan check. **Prior** to Building and Safety Division plan check submittal, approved plans must be stamped approved by Planning Division staff. **Any** changes to the approved plans will require resubmittal of revised plans for approval. **Prior** to Building and Safety Division plan check submittal, **all** changes to approved plans must be on file with the Planning Division.

An appointment must be made with the case planner, Chris Baghdikian, for stamp and signature prior to submitting for Building plan check. Please contact Chris Baghdikian directly at 818-937-8182 or via email at cbaghdikian@glendaleca.gov.

Sincerely,

PHILIP LANZAFAME

Interim Director of Community Development

Jrban Design Studio Staff

/ ///

Attachment: staff report

## City of Glendale Community Development Department Design Review Staff Report – Single Family

Meeting/Decision Date: September 4, 2015	Address: 2005 Glenwood Road
Review Authority: □DRB ⊠ADR □HPC □CC	<b>APN:</b> 5621-009-005
Case Number: PDR1516738	Applicant: Jaehee Ghanati
Prepared By: Chris Baghdikian	Owner: Sherry Chin Cortez, Genmin Chin, Eugene Chin
Project Summary The applicant is proposing an addition of 538 sq.ft. to a two-car attached garage will be constructed and the exwindows of the existing house will be replaced. The exwith the proposed addition.	xisting one-car garage will be demolished. The
Existing Property/Background The property is developed with a 792 sq.ft. single-famil access from the alley to the rear. An existing driveway Glenwood Road.	ly dwelling. A detached one-car garage has vehicular provides vehicular access to the property from
Staff Recommendation  ☐ Approve ☐ Approve with Conditions ☐	Return for Redesign Deny
Last Date Reviewed / Decision  ☐ First time submittal for final review. ☐ Other:	
Zone: R1 FAR District: I Although this design review does not convey final zonic consistency with the applicable Codes and no inconsis	
Active/Pending Permits and Approvals  None Other:	
CEQA Status:  ☐ The project is exempt from CEQA review as a Class 15301 of the State CEQA Guidelines.  ☐ The project is exempt from CEQA review as a Class Structures" exemption pursuant to Section 15303 of Other:	ss 3 "New Construction or Conversion of Small
Site Slope and Grading  ☑ None proposed ☐ Less than 50% current average slope and less than and/or fill); no additional review required. ☐ 1500 cubic yards or greater of earth movement:	n 1500 cubic yards of earth movement (cut
50% or greater current average slope:	á.

## Comparison of Neighborhood Survey:

	Average of Properties within 300 linear feet of subject property	Range of Properties within 300 linear feet of subject property	Subject Property Proposal
Lot size	5,752 sf	4,550 - 8,698 sf	6,750 sf
Setback	25.6 ft	15 - 30 ft	67 ft
House size	1,245 sf	652 - 2,282 sf	1,245 sf
Floor Area Ratio	0.22	0.11 - 0.36	0.21
Number of stories	1.1	1 - 2	1

1 IOOI AIGA NAIIO	A STATE OF THE STA		
Number of stories	1.1	1 - 2	1
DESIGN ANALYSIS	5		
Site Planning Are the following items	s satisfactory and compatik	ole with the project site and	surrounding area?
Building Location ⊠ yes ☐ n/a [	n □ no		
	ildings on site		
Garage Location ⊠ yes ☐ n/a [	and Driveway ☐ no		
If "no" select from be □ Predominant pa □ Compatible with □ Permeable pav □ Decorative pavi	attern on block n primary structure ing material		
Landscape Desig ⊠ yes	gn ∐ no		
	y to building design ing trees when possible meable surfaces		
Walls and Fences ☐ yes   ⊠ n/a [	s no		
If "no" select from be  □ Appropriate styl  □ Perimeter walls  □ Retaining walls  □ Appropriately si	le/color/material treated at both sides minimized		

## **Determination of Compatibility: Site Planning**

If "no" select from below and explain:

The proposed site planning is appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The proposed addition will bring the front of the building closer to the street frontage of the property, consistent with the site planning of the neighboring properties.
- The front yard will remain open and will continue to provide a sense of openness to the street.
- The two-car garage is designed as an integral part of the dwelling and will have doors facing away from the street. Also, the garage will make use of the existing driveway accessible from Glenwood Road.
- The driveway and walkway will include decorative paving materials.

	sing and Scale ne following items satisfactory and compatible with the project site and surrounding area?
	uilding Relates to its Surrounding Context ☑ yes  ☐ n/a ☐ no
lf	"no" select from below and explain:  □Appropriate proportions and transitions □Relates to predominant pattern □Impact of larger building minimized
	uilding Relates to Existing Topography 〗yes ☐ n/a ☐ no
lf	"no" select from below and explain:  □Form and profile follow topography  □Alteration of existing land form minimized  □Retaining walls terrace with slope
	onsistent Architectural Concept 〗yes ☐ n/a ☐ no
lf	"no" select from below and explain: Concept governs massing and height
	cale and Proportion 〗yes ☐ n/a ☐ no
lf	"no" select from below and explain:  □Scale and proportion fit context  □Articulation avoids overbearing forms  □Appropriate solid/void relationships  □Entry and major features well located  □Avoids sense of monumentality

□Roof reinforces design concept
☐ Configuration appropriate to context

## **Determination of Compatibility: Mass and Scale**

If "no" select from below and explain:

The proposed massing and scale are appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The dwelling will remain one-story with an overall height of approximately 16 feet and will be consistent with the single-story height of the adjacent buildings.
- The addition will have a pitched roof with clay tiles and will be designed to integrate architecturally with the flat roof the existing house.
- The front porch will have an arcade facing the street and will be designed with modest proportions.
- The garage wall facing the street will contain windows similar to the windows of the house and will project an attractive residential character to the street.

project an attractive residential character to the street.
Design and Detailing Are the following items satisfactory and compatible with the project site and surrounding area?
Overall Design and Detailing ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
Entryway ⊠ yes  ☐ n/a  ☐ no
If "no" select from below and explain:  □Well integrated into design  □Avoids sense of monumentality  □Design provides appropriate focal point  □Doors appropriate to design
Windows ☐ yes ☐ n/a ⊠ no
If "no" select from below and explain:  □Appropriate to overall design □Placement appropriate to style □Recessed in wall, when appropriate □Articulation appropriate to style Conditions are added to ensure that any window grids will be external and that all windows will be recessed in their openings and that all new and large existing openings will feature sills as shown in the elevations.
Privacy ⊠ yes  ☐ n/a  ☐ no
If "no" select from below and explain:  □Consideration of views from "public" rooms and balconies/decks □Avoid windows facing adjacent windows
Finish Materials and Color ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no

<ul> <li>□ Textures and colors reinforce design</li> <li>□ High-quality, especially facing the street</li> <li>□ Respect articulation and façade hierarchy</li> <li>□ Wrap corners and terminate appropriately</li> <li>□ Natural colors used in hillside areas</li> </ul>
Paving Materials  ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain:  □Decorative material at entries/driveways □Permeable paving when possible □Material and color related to design
Equipment, Trash, and Drainage  ☐ yes ☐ n/a ☐ no
If "no" select from below and explain:  □ Equipment screened and well located  □ Trash storage out of public view  □ Downspouts appropriately located  □ Vents, utility connections integrated with design, avoid primary facades
Ancillary Structures  ☐ yes ☑ n/a ☐ no
If "no" select from below and explain:  □Design consistent with primary structure  □Design and materials of gates complement primary structure

## **Determination of Compatibility: Design and Detailing**

The proposed design and detailing are appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The details throughout the building are consistent with the Spanish style, including the porch with arches, the doors, the clay roof tiles and the exposed rounded rafter ends.
- The entry porch is integrated into the building and is of modest proportions.
- All windows in prominent locations will be double-hung or casement with sills as conditioned.
- The front door and garage doors will be stained wood and will have a rustic appearance.
- The building walls will be white stucco, which is appropriate to the proposed style.

## Recommendation / Draft Record of Decision

Based on the above analysis, staff recommends **approval** of the project with **conditions**, as follow:

## **Conditions**

- 1. Window types A and B shall have external grids or no grids. Internal grids are not acceptable at these locations.
- 2. All new windows to be recessed within openings which will have projecting sills. New sills shall be added at openings in existing structure to match elevation drawings.
- 3. Provide vertical section drawing for typical window openings.

## Attachments

- Location Map
   Neighborhood Survey
   Photos of Existing Property
   Reduced Plans