

633 E. Broadway, Room 103 Glendale, CA 91206-4311 Tel 818.548.2140 Tel 818.548.2115 Fax 818.240.0392 ci.glendale.ca.us

### November 25, 2015

Balian Investments, LLC 127 N. Madison Avenue, Suite 200 Pasadena, CA 91101

> RE: ADMINISTRATIVE DESIGN REVIEW CASE NO. PDR 1518319 373 WEST DORAN STREET

Dear Mr. Balian,

On November 25, 2015, the Director of Community Development, pursuant to the provisions of the Glendale Municipal Code, Title 30, Chapter 30.47, **APPROVED** your design review application to demolish an existing single-family house and construct a new 5,512 square-foot five-unit townhouse style multi-family building on a 6,713 square-foot lot. The units will be two stories over a semi-subterranean garage. The building will have three 987 square-foot one bedroom units, and two, two-bedroom units ranging from 1,226 square feet to 1,325 square feet in the R-1250 High Density Residential Zone located at **373 West Doran Street**.

# **CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL:**

- 1. Submit an exterior lighting proposal to include exterior lighting for the second floor balconies with fixtures that are consistent with the architectural style for staff review and approval.
- Revise drawings to identify a split-face block for the perimeter walls.

### SUMMARY OF THE DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT'S DECISION

**Site Planning** – The proposed site planning is appropriate to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The new five unit townhouse style multi-family building will face West Doran Street and will maintain the prevailing street front setback for the residential properties along this street.
- The proposed landscaping plan is complementary to the development of the site, with new drought tolerant landscaping used to create functional and usable common and private outdoor spaces.
- The new 4,895 square-foot semi-subterranean parking garage will be accessed from West Doran Street. Pedestrian access to the semi-subterranean parking garage is from the rear common open space and the front with the elevators and stairs appropriately incorporated into the overall design of the building.
- A new six-foot high boundary wall fence with wrought iron pedestrian gates to the east and west is proposed. Primary access to all units will be from the easterly gate. The material of the boundar wall fence is not identified on the drawings. A condition is recommended requiring that revised drawings be provided identifiying a split-face block for the boundary wall fence.
- The trash bins and recycling containers are located in the semi-subterranean garage and adequately screened from Public view.

• Light fixtures are appropriately located adjacent to the main entries and private patio areas for each unit. The light fixtures proposed are designed to be architecturally consistent with the proposed contemporary style of the building. No exterior lighting is proposed for the second floor balconies, though it will likely be needed. A condition is proposed requiring submital of a revised lighting proposal to include exterior lighting for the second floor balconies with fixtures that are consistent with the architectural style of the development.

**Mass and Scale** – The proposed massing and scale are appropriate to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The property is located in a multi-family zone where the neighborhood is comprised of a variety of one, two- and three-story residential buildings in a variety of architectural styles.
- The project's massing is broken up by recessed building forms and geometric volumes which is especially appropriate along the east and west façades as it breaks up the mass and accentuates the design to minimize a boxy profile.
- While the overall height will remain at 26 feet, the parapet roofline varies across the façades, providing additional variation throughout the building, which is most prominent along the north and west elevations.

**Building Design and Detailing** – The proposed design and detailing are appropriate to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- All new windows will be aluminum-clad, clear glass, and recessed within the opening
  with a metallic silver finish. The windows will be a combination of fixed glass, casement,
  and slider operations. The proposed fenestration is compatible with the contemporary
  style of the building.
- The driveway is proposed to have a simple horizontal scoring pattern to complement the style of the building.
- The contemporary design of the project features a combination of exterior cladding material including a smooth finish stucco, dark brown wood siding, stone tile, and light green metal siding.
- The new balcony railings are proposed to be metal with a simple horizontal pattern and metal awnings. The design of the balconies will complement the contemporary style.
- The new boundary walls will have wrought iron pedestrian gates with a simple vertical pattern to the east and west.

This approval is for the project design only. Administrative Design Review approval of a project does not constitute compliance with the Zoning Code and/or Building Code requirements. Please refer to the end of this letter for information regarding plan check submittal. If there are any questions, please contact the case planner, Vista Ezzati, at 818-937-8180 or via email at <u>VEzzati@glendaleca.gov</u>.

### RESPONSES TO COMMUNITY INPUT RECEIVED DURING COMMENT PERIOD

1. Doran Street is already overly congested due to ongoing construction with surrounding Downtown development. Additional multi-family development will increase the congestion during construction and have cumulative impacts as it relates to City and regional freeway traffic.

The primary intention of the R-1250 zone is to allow for high-density residential development with a minimum of 1,250 square feet of lot area per dwelling unit. As proposed the project complies with the applicable Zoning standards in conformance with the comprehensive general plan of the city, which allows for a maximum density of five

units on this lot. The location of the R-1250 zone is based on convenience, adequacy of services, traffic circulation, and the existence of open space and recreation areas that support the concentration of population in such zones. Based on the small scale nature of the proposed building, five units, a traffic monitoring program is not required and adequate infrastructure is already existing for this project.

The project complies with parking regulations as required by the Zoning Code. Based on the proposed number of units and bedrooms for each unit, the following parking requirements apply: Three one-bedroom units requires 2 spaces per unit, two two-bedroom units also requires 2 spaces per unit, AND ¼ guest space per unit. As proposed, the project is providing a total of eleven parking spaces which includes the ten required residential parking spaces and the one required guest parking space.

# APPEAL PERIOD (effective date), TIME LIMIT, LAPSE OF PRIVILEGES, TIME EXTENSION

The applicant's attention is called to the fact that this grant is not a permit or license and that any permits and licenses required by law must be obtained from the proper City and public agency.

Under the provisions of the Glendale Municipal Code, Title 30, Chapter 30.62, any person affected by the above decision has the right to appeal said decision to the Design Review Board if it is believed that the decision is in error or that procedural errors have occurred, or if there is substantial new evidence which could not have been reasonably presented. It is strongly advised that appeals be filed early during the appeal period and in person so that imperfections/incompleteness may be corrected before the appeal period expires. Any appeal must be filed on the prescribed forms within fifteen (15) days following the actual date of the decision. Information regarding appeals and appeal forms will be provided by the Permit Services Center (PSC) or the Community Development Department (CDD) upon request and must be filed with the prescribed fee prior to expiration of the 15-day period, on or before **DECEMBER 10, 2015** at the Permit Services Center (PSC), 633 East Broadway, Room 101, Monday thru Friday 7:00 am to 12:00 pm, or at the Community Development Department (CDD), 633 East Broadway, Room 103, Monday thru Friday 12:00 pm to 5 pm.

### APPEAL FORMS available on-line: www.glendaleca.gov/appeals

To save you time and a trip - please note that some of our FORMS are available on line and may be downloaded. AGENDAS and other NOTICES are also posted on our website. Visit us.

### **TRANSFERABILITY**

This authorization runs with the land or the use for which it was intended for and approved. In the event the property is to be leased, rented or occupied by any person or corporation other than yourself, it is incumbent that you advise them regarding the conditions and/or limitations of this grant.

**EXTENSION**: An extension of the design review approval may be requested one time and extended for up to a maximum of one (1) additional year upon receipt of a written request from the applicant and demonstration that a reasonable effort to act on such right and privilege has commenced within the two (2) years of the approval date. In granting such extension the applicable review authority shall make a written finding that neighborhood conditions have not substantially changed since the granting of the design review approval.

## NOTICE - subsequent contacts with this office

The applicant is further advised that all subsequent contact with this office regarding this determination must be with the case planner, **Vista Ezzati**, who acted on this case. This would include clarification and verification of condition compliance and plans or building permit applications, etc., and shall be accomplished **by appointment only**, in order to assure that you receive service with a minimum amount of waiting. You should advise any consultant representing you of this requirement as well.

If an appeal is not filed within the 15-day appeal period of the decision, plans may be submitted for Building and Safety Division plan check. <a href="Prior">Prior</a> to Building and Safety Division plan check submittal, approved plans must be stamped approved by Planning Division staff. <a href="Any">Any</a> changes to the approved plans will require resubmittal of revised plans for approval. <a href="Prior">Prior</a> to Building and Safety Division plan check submittal, <a href="all">all</a> changes to approved plans must be on file with the Planning Division.

An appointment must be made with the case planner, Vista Ezzati, for stamp and signature prior to submitting for Building plan check. Please contact Vista Ezzati directly at 818-937-8180 or via email at <a href="Melonicalization-visi

Sincerely,

PHILIP LANZAFAME

**Director of Community Development** 

Orbail Design Studio Sta

TF:KA:ve

# City of Glendale Community Development Department Design Review Staff Report – Multi-Family

**CEQA Status:** 

Meeting/Decision Date: November 24, 2015	Address: 373 West Doran Street	
Review Authority: □DRB ⊠ADR □HPC □CC	<b>APN:</b> 5637-002-018	
Case Number: PDR 1518319	Applicant: Balian Investments, LLC	
Prepared By: Vista Ezzati, Planning Assistant	Owner: Balian Investments, LLC	
Project Summary The applicant is proposing to demolish an existing single-family house and construct a new 5,512 square-foot five-unit townhouse style multi-family building on a 6,713 square-foot lot in the R-1250 (High Density Residential Zone). The units will be two stories over a semi-subterranean garage. The building will have three 987 square-foot one bedroom units, and two, two bedroom units ranging from 1,226 square feet to 1,325 square feet.		
five units designed in a contemporary style.	-story single-family home with an attached garage. ry townhouse style multi-family building with a total of ubterranean parking garage with a total of 11 parking	
Existing Property/Background The site is currently developed with a one-story, 1,738 designed in the Bungalow Craftsman style. The subject on the northwesterly portion of West Doran Street. The has a regular, rectangular shape similar to other proper house with an attached garage will be demolished as p on the Craftsman Survey with a code of "6L", indicating State, or National Register of Historic Resources and w California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The subjection along an urban collector street with predominantly property. In addition, there is an Elementary School to the and various general commercial uses nearby to the nor	t property is an interior lot developed in 1911 located site topography consists of relatively flat terrain and rties in the neighborhood. The existing single-family part of this project. The subject property was identified that it is not eligible for designation for the Local, would not be considered a historic resource under the ect property is located in a high density residential would multi-family development abutting the subject the west, a Public Park located mid-block to the east,	
Staff Recommendation ☐ Approve ☑ Approve with Conditions ☐	Return for Redesign	
Last Date Reviewed / Decision  ⊠ First time submittal for final review.  □ Other:		
<b>Zone:</b> R1250 - High Density Residential Although this design review does not convey final zonin consistency with the applicable Codes and no inconsist	ng approval, the project has been reviewed for tencies have been identified.	
Active/Pending Permits and Approvals  ☑ None ☐ Other:		

☐ The project is exempt from CEQA review as a Class 1 "Existing Facilities" exemption pursuant to Section

81 <u></u> 8	15301 of the State CEQA Guidelines. The project is exempt from CEQA review as a Class 3 "New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures" exemption pursuant to Section 15303 of the State CEQA Guidelines. Other:
$\square$	e Slope and Grading  None proposed  Less than 50% current average slope and less than 1500 cubic yards of earth movement (cut and/or fill); no additional review required.  1500 cubic yards or greater of earth movement:
	50% or greater current average slope:
<u> </u>	SIGN ANALYSIS
	e Planning the following items satisfactory and compatible with the project site and surrounding area?
	Building Location  ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
	If "no" select from below and explain:  □ Setbacks of buildings on site  □ Prevailing setbacks on the street
	Yards and Usable Open Space ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
	If "no" select from below and explain:  □ Outdoor space integrated into site design and acknowledges adjacent development  □ Common space easily accessible from all units  □ Appropriate separation/screening from residential units  □ Discrete seating and amenity areas allow for multiple users
	Garage Location and Driveway ⊠ yes  ☐ n/a  ☐ no
	If "no" select from below and explain:  □Garage fully integrated into overall structure □Driveway and curb-cut widths minimized □Grade-level garages and parking, if allowed, are appropriately screened from the street □Decorative paving complements building design □Stairs and lifts to subterranean garages incorporated into the design of the project
	Landscape Design ⊠ yes  ☐ n/a  ☐ no
	If "no" select from below and explain:  □Complementary to building design  □Maintain existing trees when possible  □Provide landscaping adjacent to driveways and garages  □20% of planting at above-grade common spaces is within 9 inches of finish floor  □Above-grade tree wells are at least 6 inches higher than box size of tree

Walls and Fences □ yes □ n/a ⊠ no
If "no" select from below and explain:  ☑Appropriate style/color/material for building design ☐Perimeter walls treated at both sides ☐Retaining walls minimized ☐Appropriately sized and located  The material of the boundary wall fence is not identified on the drawings. A condition is recommended requiring that revised drawings be provided identifiying a split-face blockfor the permiter walls.
Equipment, Trash, and Drainage ⊠ yes
If "no" select from below and explain:  □ Equipment screened and well located □ Trash storage out of public view □ All screening integrated with overall building and/or landscape design □ Downspouts appropriately located □ Vents, utility connections integrated with design, avoid primary facades
Lighting □ yes □ n/a ⊠ no
If "no" select from below and explain:  Light fixtures are appropriate to the building and/or landscape design  Avoid over-lit facades; consider ambient light conditions when developing lighting scheme  Utilize shielded fixtures to avoid light spillover onto adjacent properties  No exterior lighting is proposed for the second floor balconies, though it will likely be needed. A condition is proposed requiring submital of a revised lighting proposal to include exterior lighting for the second floor balconies with fixtures that are consistent with the architectural style of the development.

### **Determination of Compatibility: Site Planning**

The proposed site planning is appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The new five unit townhouse style multi-family building will face West Doran Street and will maintain the prevailing street front setback for the residential properties along this street.
- The proposed landscaping plan is complementary to the development of the site, with new drought tolerant landscaping used to create functional and usable common and private outdoor spaces.
- The new 4,895 square-foot semi-subterranean parking garage will be accessed from West Doran Street. Pedestrian access to the semi-subterranean parking garage is from the rear common open space and the front with the elevators and stairs appropriately incorporated into the overall design of the building.
- A new six-foot high boundary wall fence with wrought iron pedestrian gates to the east and west is
  proposed. Primary access to all units will be from the easterly gate. The material of the boundar wall
  fence is not identified on the drawings. A condition is recommended requiring that revised drawings
  be provided identifying a split-face block for the boundary wall fence.
- The trash bins and recycling containers are located in the semi-subterranean garage and adequately screened from Public view.
- Light fixtures are appropriately located adjacent to the main entries and private patio areas for each
  unit. The light fixtures proposed are designed to be architecturally consistent with the proposed
  contemporary style of the building. No exterior lighting is proposed for the second floor balconies,
  though it will likely be needed. A condition is proposed requiring submital of a revised lighting
  proposal to include exterior lighting for the second floor balconies with fixtures that are consistent with
  the architectural style of the development.

Massing and Scale Are the following items satisfactory and compatible with the project site and surrounding area?
Building Relates to its Surrounding Context ☑ yes ☐ n/a ☐ no
If "no" select from below and explain:  □Relates to predominant pattern through appropriate proportions and transitions  □Impact of larger building minimized
Building Relates to Existing Topography ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain:  □ Form and profile follow topography □ Alteration of existing land form minimized □ Retaining walls terrace with slope
Consistent Architectural Concept ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain:  ☐ Concept governs massing and height
Scale and Proportion ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain:  □ Scale and proportion fit context □ Articulation avoids overbearing forms □ Appropriate solid/void relationships □ Entry and major features well located □ Avoids sense of monumentality
Roof Forms ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain:  □Roof reinforces design concept □Configuration appropriate to context

# **Determination of Compatibility: Mass and Scale**

The proposed massing and scale are appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The property is located in a multi-family zone where the neighborhood is comprised of a variety of one, two- and three-story residential buildings in a variety of architectural styles.
- The project's massing is broken up by recessed building forms and geometric volumes which is
  especially appropriate along the east and west façades as it breaks up the mass and accentuates the
  design to minimize a boxy profile.

 While the overall height will remain at 26 feet, the parapet roofline varies across the façades, providing additional variation throughout the building, which is most prominent along the north and west elevations.

Design and Detailing Are the following items satisfactory and compatible with the project site and surrounding area?			
Overall Design and Detailing ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no			
If "no" select from below and explain:  □Design is compatible with neighborhood context □Design is stylistically consistent □Employs consistent vocabulary of forms and materials while expressing architectural variety □Cladding materials and			
Entryway ⊠ yes			
If "no" select from below and explain:  □Well integrated into design □Avoids sense of monumentality □Design provides appropriate focal point □Doors appropriate to design			
Windows ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no			
If "no" select from below and explain:  □ Appropriate to overall design □ Overall window pattern appropriate to style □ Window operation appropriate to style □ Recessed/flush window appropriate to style and/or location □ Openings are well detailed			
Privacy ⊠ yes   □ n/a   □ no			
If "no" select from below and explain:  □Consideration of views from "public" rooms and balconies/roof decks  □Avoid windows facing adjacent windows			
Finish Materials and Color ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no			
If "no" select from below and explain:  Textures and colors reinforce design High-quality materials, especially facing the street Materials appropriately enhance articulation and façade hierarchies Wrap corners and terminate appropriately Cladding is well detailed, especially at junctions between materials Foam trim, finished on site, is prohibited			

Paving Materials  ☑ yes ☐ n/a ☐ no	
If "no" select from below and explain:  □ Decorative material at entries/driveways  □ Permeable paving when possible  □ Material and color related to design	
Ancillary Structures ☐ yes  ☑ n/a  ☐ no	
If "no" select from below and explain:  □ Design consistent with primary structure  □ Design and materials of gates, fences, and/or walls complement primary structure	

## **Determination of Compatibility: Design and Detailing**

The proposed design and detailing are appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- All new windows will be aluminum-clad, clear glass, and recessed within the opening with a metallic silver finish. The windows will be a combination of fixed glass, casement, and slider operations. The proposed fenestration is compatible with the contemporary style of the building.
- The driveway is proposed to have a simple horizontal scoring pattern to complement the style of the building.
- The contemporary design of the project features a combination of exterior cladding material including a smooth finish stucco, dark brown wood siding, stone tile, and light green metal siding.
- The new balcony railings are proposed to be metal with a simple horizontal pattern and metal awnings. The design of the balconies will complement the contemporary style.
- The new boundary walls will have wrought iron pedestrian gates with a simple vertical pattern to the
  east and west.

### Recommendation / Draft Record of Decision

Based on the above analysis, staff recommends **approval** of the project with **conditions**, as follow:

### Conditions

- 1. Submit an exterior lighting proposal to include exterior lighting for the second floor balconies with fixtures that are consistent with the architectural style for staff review and approval.
- 2. Revise drawing to identify a split-face block for the perimeter walls.

### **Attachments**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Neighborhood Survey
- 3. Photos of Existing Property
- 4. Reduced Plans